



Environmental Geotechnics

Grading curve relations for saturated hydraulic conductivity of granular materials

ENGE-2023-131-R2 | Paper

Submitted on: 22-11-24

Submitted by: Emőke Imre, Zsombor Illes, Francesca Casini, Giulia Guida, Shuyin Feng, Maria Datcheva, Wiebke Baille, Agnes Balint, Delphin Kabey, Janos Lorincz, James Leak, Daniel Barreto

Keywords: GRANULAR MATERIALS, PERMEABILITY & PORE-RELATED PROPERTIES, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS



Editorial Panel comments - Author's reply

Many thanks to the Editor for the latest review. It includes the single comment below:

1. Table citation number of A2-1 is incorrect. Please do the corrections.

Response:

Many thanks for pointing this out. The reference has now been corrected to Table A2-1.

- Article type: Paper
 - Date text revised: 20/06/2024
 - Number of words in main text and tables: 5000 app: 733
 - Number of figures: 10 + 2 in appendices
-

Grading curve relations for saturated hydraulic conductivity of granular materials

Author 1

- Eموke Imre, Ph.D., Habil
- Bánki Donát Faculty of Mechanical and Safety Engineering and EKIK HBM Research Centers, Óbuda University, Budapest Hungary
- orcid.org/0000-0001-6746-027X

Author 2

- Zsombor Illés, M.Sc.
- Department of Engineering Geology and Geotechnics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budapest, Hungary
- orcid.org/0000-0001-9351-1763

Author 3

- Francesca Casini, Ph.D.
- Department of Civil Engineering and Informatics, Università degli studi di Roma Tor Vergata, 33Vergata, Rome, Italy
- orcid.org/0000-0001-7933-9055

Author 4

- Giulia Guida, Ph.D.
- Department of Civil Engineering and Informatics, Università degli studi di Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- orcid.org/0000-0003-1129-7906

Author 5

- Shuyin Feng, Ph.D.
- Department of Civil Engineering, Birmingham City University, UK
- orcid.org/0000-0002-3837-6762

Author 6

- Maria Datcheva, Ph.D.
- Institute of Mechanics and Institute of Information and Communication Technologies, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
- orcid.org/0000-0002-0801-4795

Author 7

- Wiebke Baille, Ph.D.
- Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bochum, Germany
- orcid.org/0000-0001-6852-9427

Author 8

- Ágnes Bálint, Ph.D., Habil
- Óbuda University, Institute of Environmental Engineering and Natural Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
- orcid.org/0000-0003-3527-6835

Author 9

- Delphin Kabey Mwinken
- AIAM Doctoral School, Óbuda University, Hungary
- orcid.org/0000-0002-2540-9027

Author 10

- János Lorincz
- AIAM Doctoral School, Óbuda University, Hungary
- orcid.org/0000-0002-2540-9027

Author 11

- James Leak, Ph.D.
- School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Author 12

- Daniel Barreto, M.Sc., Ph.D, DIC.
- School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
- orcid.org/0000-0003-4790-3250

Full contact details of the corresponding author.

- Daniel Barreto, M.Sc., Ph.D, DIC.
- School of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment, Edinburgh Napier University, Merchiston Campus, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, EH105DT
- orcid.org/0000-0003-4790-3250
- E-mail: d.barreto@napier.ac.uk

Abstract

Estimation of hydraulic conductivity in soils is challenging. The primary aim of this study is to demonstrate that such predictions may be improved if grading curves are appropriately quantified and described, as well as by including density-related values in such relationships. Various saturated hydraulic conductivity models were tested with the assumption that predictions would improve if different grading curve statistics are used. A unimodal database was elaborated using old and new data. Three types of permeability models were examined. One using the traditional

variables consisting of the product of harmonic mean d_h or d_{10} and void ratio, the hydraulic radius; as well as additional density information. The second using the grading entropy coordinate pair $S_0, \Delta S$ or the similar pair d_{10}, C_U , expressing the mean grain size on logarithmic scale along with the spread of the grain size distribution and containing similar information on pore size distribution (POSD) by duality. When these were combined in the third type, including also relative density for coarse materials, the fit was the best, verifying the hypothesis that the full pore size range may be the missing pore geometry information of the Taylor's equation (hence predictions are better if grading curve parameters consider the entire distribution of particle sizes). The parameters identified for the various data series were dependent on the data themselves as found from early times in literature. The similarity of grading entropy coordinate pairs and the pair d_{10}, C_U , as well as d_h and d_{10} , was analysed by simulations and by using the same measured data.

Keywords chosen from the ICE Publishing list

Granular materials; Permeability & pore-related properties; Statistical analysis

List of notations

A	is the relative base entropy
B	is the normalised entropy increments
C_U	is the coefficient of uniformity (= d_{60}/d_{10})
C_i	are model parameters
C_S	is the skew
C_K	is the kurtosis
CV	coefficient of variation (Standard deviation/expected value)
d_h	is the harmonic mean diameter
d_{10}	is the diameter of which 10% of the particles are finer
d_{50}	is the diameter of which 50% of the particles are finer
d_{60}	is the diameter of which 60% of the particles are finer
e	is the void ratio
e_{max}	is the maximum void ratio
e_{min}	is the minimum void ratio
k	is the saturated hydraulic conductivity in [cm/s]
v, N	is the fraction number
R_D	is the relative density
r_m	is the hydraulic radius
ρ_v	is the pore volume on unit pore surface
ρ_s	is the grain density

S_s	is the surface area of voids
S_0	is the base entropy
ΔS	is the entropy increment
S_{sA}	is the specific surface area per volume [1/m]
S_{sm}	is the specific surface area per mass [m ² /g]
SD	standard deviation
PSD	is the particle size distribution
POSD	is the pore size distribution
GSD	is the grain size distribution by dry mass
V	is the total volume
V_v	is the volume of voids
V_s	is the volume of solid
x_i	is the relative frequency of fraction i

1 1. Introduction

2 The estimation of hydraulic permeability in coarse-grained is challenging. Consequently, several
3 relationships have been proposed (e.g. Hasen, 1893; Kozeny, 1927; Taylor, 1948; Carrier, 2003;
4 Ren & Santamarina, 2018). It is also relatively well accepted that permeability is affected by
5 particle morphology and mineralogy (e.g. Li, et al 2023). Chen, et al (2019) have further
6 considered the effect of grading on permeability at the pore scale. However the focus of the
7 present study is that some existing studies have considered the effect of void ratio and grain size
8 distribution on the estimation of hydraulic conductivity.

9
10 With regards to grain size distributions, it is common for existing relationship to use parameters
11 such as d_{10} , d_{50} and $c_u (=d_{60}/d_{10})$ which do not fully quantify/describe the entire grading curve. It
12 is hypothesized that better estimations of hydraulic conductivity can be made if parameters that
13 characterise the entire grading curve and alternative measures of density are also used for such
14 estimations. To demonstrate such hypotheses, we perform statistical analyses on a combined
15 granular database of hydraulic conductivity experiments, and we have re-evaluated results
16 obtained by other researchers. The combined database has been complemented by new and
17 extensive experiments by the authors covering a very wide range of grading curves for coarse-
18 grained soils. Using the full database, the variables of both the classical theory and the grading
19 entropy theory were applied to develop empirical relationships between grading curves and
20 hydraulic conductivity.

21
22 The structure of the paper is as follows. Hereafter, the grain size distribution (GSD), pore size
23 distribution (POSD) and density variables for saturated hydraulic conductivity models of granular
24 materials are summarized. Then the Taylor's permeability model—the foundation of all
25 subsequent models—is presented, highlighting its open question regarding the description of
26 pore geometry and the assumption of this research. Next, we present the methods of data
27 processing, parametric model definition, parameter identification, and model discrimination.
28 Moreover, we detail the results concerning the established database, elaborated models, along
29 with the results of the model discrimination. Finally, we discuss the elaborated model equations

30 and analyse the similarities among various grading curve variables ($S_0 - \Delta S$ and $d_{10} - C_U$,
31 moreover, $d_h - d_{10}$).

32

33 **1.1 Grain size distribution curve and statistics**

34 The measured grading curve represents a finite, discrete distribution with N uniform statistical
35 cells, based on N sieve data (Figure 1). Using a logarithmically uniform cell system representing
36 the size fractions (Table 1, Appendix 1), some additional statistical variables can be defined
37 beyond the traditional quantiles like d_{60} , d_{50} , d_{10} and other derived quantities such as the
38 coefficient of uniformity C_U as follows.

39

40

41 Table 1. Fraction i in terms of diameter d and D (dimensionless diameter variable)

Fraction number i	1	23	24
Limits in terms of d	1 d_0 to 2 d_0	$2^{22} d_0$ to $2^{23} d_0$	$2^{23} d_0$ to $2^{24} d_0$
D or S_{0i} [-]	1	23	24

42

43 **1.1.1. Harmonic mean diameter and related variables**

44 The harmonic mean diameter (d_h) from all measured GSD data is computed as follows:

45

$$46 \quad d_h = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{d_i}}$$

47 1.

48

49 where d_i is an arbitrary diameter value selected from fraction ("sieve") i . This value can be chosen
50 in various ways, however the choice of diameter has a negligible effect on the results.

51

52 The mean pore volume is defined as (Imre *et al.*, 2014):

$$53 \quad \rho_v = \frac{V_v}{S_s}$$

54 2.

55

56 where V_v is the volume of voids and S_s is the specific surface. ρ_v may also be expressed using

57 the harmonic mean diameter, assuming spherical grains:

58
$$\rho_v = \frac{V - V_s}{6V_s \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{d_i}} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{e}{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{d_i}} = \frac{e}{6} d_h,$$

59 3.

60 where e is the void ratio. It can be noted that the value of the mean pore volume is equal to the61 hydraulic radius r_m (Taylor, 1948), containing the product of the void ratio and the harmonic mean

62 diameter.

63

64 The specific surface area per volume of the soil is defined as:

65

66
$$S_{sA} = \frac{6}{(1+e)} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{d_i} = \frac{6}{(1+e)d_h},$$

67 4.

68

69 The specific surface area per mass of the soil is defined as:

70
$$S_{sm} = \frac{6}{\rho_s} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{d_i} = \frac{6}{\rho_s d_h}$$

71 5.

72

73 *1.1.2. The grading entropy coordinates*

74 In Figure 1, the GSD is represented, where the sieve fractions, with sieve hole diameters doubling

75 at each step, create a uniform cell system. The four grading entropy coordinates, derived from all

76 measured GSD data, are calculated as follows (Lorincz, 1986, Singh, 2014).

77

78
$$S_0 = \sum x_i S_{0i}$$

79 6.

80

81
$$A = \frac{S_0 - S_{0min}}{S_{0max} - S_{0min}}$$

82 7.

83

$$84 \quad \Delta S = \frac{-1}{\ln(2)} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \ln x_i$$

85 8.

86

$$87 \quad B = \frac{\Delta S}{\ln N}$$

88 9.

89

90 where $S_{0i} = i$ is the i -th fraction entropy (see Table 1), N is the number of fractions including the
91 smallest and largest diameter non-zero fractions.

92

93 The d_0 in Table 1 is limited by the smallest diameter which may approximately be equal to the
94 diameter of the SiO_4 tetrahedron ($\sim 2.68\text{E}-8$ m). In this work, $d_0 = 3.05175\text{E}-08$ m is used. It can be
95 noted that the relation between diameter limits and the S_{0i} is not unique.

96

97 By specifying the arbitrary smallest (i -th) and the arbitrary largest ($(i+N-1)$ -th) non-zero fractions,
98 infinite many grading curves can be defined. It can be shown that for every fixed value of A , the
99 subgraph area of the related GSD-s is the same, and there is a unique, optimal grading curve
100 with maximum B and finite fractal distribution. Since this optimal grading curve has no inflexion
101 point, it is a kind of mean grading curve. It follows that the fractal grading curve series depending
102 on A can be used to elaborate “mean” relationships of the various grading curve statistics (Imre
103 *et al.*, 2022).

104

105 **1.2 Density type permeability model variables**

106 The density variables employed in the saturated hydraulic conductivity models of granular
107 materials are summarized hereafter. The most popular density variables are the void ratio (e), the
108 porosity (n), dry density (ρ_d), the solid volume ratio (s) or its inverse, the specific volume (v). Their
109 basic relations are given below:

110

$$111 \quad n = 1 - \frac{1}{1+e} = \frac{e}{1+e}$$

112 10.

113

$$114 \quad e = \frac{1-s}{s}$$

115 11.

116

$$117 \quad s = \frac{1}{1+e} = \frac{1}{v}$$

118 12.

119

$$120 \quad \rho_d = s\rho_s$$

121 13.

122

123 The most informative parameter is the relative density (I_D or R_d), which is dependent on three
 124 variables: the void ratio e and the minimum and maximum dry densities in terms of e_{\max} and e_{\min} :

125

$$126 \quad I_D = \frac{e_{\max} - e}{e_{\max} - e_{\min}}$$

127 14.

128

129 Notably, (Kabai, 1974) observed that the ratio e_{\min}/e_{\max} remains approximately constant for most
 130 sands but begins to decrease as the soil contains more silt, see some values in (Imre *et al.*, 2011).

131 Furthermore, the e_{\max} of fractal grain size distributions has a minimum at $A=2/3$ by observation

132 which is also a boundary defining stable and instable packings, (e.g. Lorincz, 1986; Imre *et al.*,

133 2019). In practical terms this highlights that grading entropy parameters may be as or more useful

134 than common parameters such as C_u and d_{10} to define the suitability of granular filters and the

135 stability of fills and embankments.

136

137

138 **1.3 The Taylor's equation; aim and structure of the paper**

139 In Taylor's derivation, the saturated permeability relation is derived from Poiseuille's law of
140 hydraulics, considering soil pores as a group of tubes. The Taylor permeability equation (Taylor,
141 1947), reads:

142

143
$$k = \left(\frac{V_v}{S_s}\right)^2 \frac{\gamma_w}{\mu} \frac{e}{(1+e)} C = r_m^2 \frac{\gamma_w}{\mu} \frac{e}{(1+e)} C,$$

144 15.

145

146 where γ_w is permeant's unit weight, μ is the dynamic viscosity of the permeant. The $r_m = ed_H/6$ is
147 the hydraulic radius or the mean pore size in the case of spherical grains, $e/(1+e)$ is the
148 porosity, and the free parameter C depends on additional pore geometry characteristics. It
149 follows that a good permeability model may contain the product of the third power of void ratio
150 and the second power of the harmonic mean diameter.

151

152 In the present study it was assumed that the pore geometry can be characterized by the four
153 grading entropy coordinates (i.e. Eqs 5 – 8). These are precise grading curve statistics, based
154 on all data measured during sieving. Hence they can enhance the accuracy of the soil
155 permeability models.

156

157 It is well-known that the S_0 , ΔS and their normalised forms A and B , are related to a kind of
158 mean logarithmic grain diameter, to the spread of the distribution (similar to C_U) and to the
159 internal structure and stability information.

160

161 In the present study, the pairs $S_0 - \Delta S$ and $d_{10} - C_U$ were incorporated into various permeability
162 models. The parameters of the so defined models depending on the underlying data, (Taylor,
163 1948), were identified using a new database containing relatively unimodal grain size
164 distributions, ranging from silt to gravel sizes.

165

166 **2. Methods**

167 **2.1 Databases**

168 The databases used in the present study correspond to both existing and new hydraulic
169 conductivity experiments. Existing databases were chosen with the aim of considering grading
170 effects including particle sizes ranging from silts to gravels. Furthermore, it is considered
171 important to make comparisons that involve identical testing conditions and repeatability. In that
172 regard all tests and series included consider constant head tests with identical testing conditions
173 and many of them with repeated measurements that add reliability to our databases.

174

175 Series 1 to 4 are based on the research of the Central Organisation for Flood Protection, Hungary,
176 collecting different soils from 10 pits along various dikes. The series were created by mixing soils,
177 ranging from silt to gravel, with four fixed, different d_{10} ranges in the silt fraction between 0.006 to
178 0.016mm. As increasing amounts of coarse materials were added, the mixtures became
179 progressively bimodal, with C_U ranging from 2 to 530. Falling head tests were repeated three
180 times on 74 soil mixtures (Nagy, 2011, 2012); the saturated hydraulic conductivity ranged from
181 6E-6 to 5E-3 cm/s.

182

183 Series 5 (coarse sand and fine gravel) was based on the database by Feng, et al (2019). The
184 soils had a d_{10} range of 0.72 to 5.82 mm, C_U ranged from 1.9 to 6.9, saturated hydraulic
185 conductivity (constant head test) ranged from 0.378 to 50.107 cm/s. At least half of the samples
186 were significantly bimodal.

187

188 Series 6 to 8 are from Pap and Mahler (2018) and Nagy (2010, 2011). Series 6 comprises various
189 soils and measuring techniques extending for finer soils. The d_{10} ranged of 0.004 to 0.01 mm, C_U
190 ranged from 3 to 84, saturated hydraulic conductivity (constant head test) ranged from 1E-7 to
191 1E-6 cm/s. Series 7 and 8 are part of Nagy's data (Series 1 to 4). Hence partly overlapping Series
192 1 to 4.

193

194 In the present research, some new measurements were conducted by the authors on 2-fraction
195 soils with four fractions: 0.25-0.5 mm (medium sand), 0.5-1 mm and 1-2 mm (coarse sand), and
196 2-4 mm (fine gravel). These comprised Series 9 and 10 featuring 15 (one repeat) and 45 (3

197 repeats) results on 15 identical compositions, differing only in density. The d_{10} range was of 0.28
 198 to 1.4 mm, C_U ranged from 1.6 to 2.2, saturated hydraulic conductivity (constant head test) ranged
 199 from 0.079 to 2.2 cm/s.

200

201 In the data processing phase, the Weibull fitting (Guida *et al.*, 2016; Casini *et al.*, 2017) was
 202 applied, to provide the ordinates of the GSD in the cell system (Table 1) and some completion
 203 of the measured data for fines, if it was needed. Then – besides the traditional quantile
 204 parameters and derived grading curve parameters, including d_{10} , d_{30} , d_{50} , d_{60} and the uniformity
 205 coefficient C_U - the four grading entropy coordinates and central moments (based on parameter
 206 D in Table 1) were computed. Bimodal grading curves were excluded based on the normalised
 207 grading entropy coordinate values (see Appendix 1). Where the fine content was not precisely
 208 measured in series 1 to 4, the PSD was extrapolated below $d=2E-3$ mm down to $d_{min}=6.1E-05$
 209 mm (which generally did not influence the value of d_{10}).

210

211 **2.2 Permeability modelling**

212 *2.2.1 Some existing models*

213 The simplest, single-linear models contain only d_{10} , like the model in (Hasen, 1893):

214

$$215 \quad k = 1.3C_H d_{10}^2$$

216 16

217

218 where the parameter C_H is Hazen's empirical coefficient and d_{10} is the characteristic particle
 219 diameter.

220

221 Lumped/composite parameters are commonly used because (i) the hydraulic radius component
 222 of Taylor's model (Equation 15) is the product of a diameter value and the void ratio, (ii) density
 223 is an important additional variable. An example is the Chapuis's equation (Chapuis, 2004):

224

$$225 \quad k = 2.4622 \left[d_{10}^2 \frac{e^3}{1+e} \right]^{0.7825}$$

226 17.

227

228 In the context of multi-variable models with parameters identifiable through multiple linear
 229 regression, certain variable pairs can be highlighted (Carman, 1937, 1939). For example,
 230 (Kozeny, 1927) gives the following formula by using a value for d_{10} less than 1.0 mm:

231

$$232 \quad k = 1.2 C_U^{0.735} d_{10}^{0.89} \frac{e^3}{1+e}$$

233 18.

234

235 Carrier (2003) proposes a similar equation using d_h . Instead of using d_{10} and C_U the grading
 236 entropy coordinates pairs (A, B) and $(S_0, \Delta S)$ along with void ratio were used by Feng *et al.* (2017)
 237 and Imre *et al.* (2021).

238

239 2.2.2 The parametric models used in the model discrimination study

240 The following parametric models were included in the model discrimination study.

241

242 A single-variable model with two unknown parameters given by the expression:

243

$$244 \quad k = C_1 p^{C_2}$$

245 19.

246

247 where parameters C_1 and C_2 depend on the unit of the variables p and k . The p is either a diameter
 248 value (e.g., d_{10} , d_{30} , d_{50} , d_{60} and d_h) or a lumped/composite variable. The latter is the product of
 249 some diameter value or a harmonic mean - based variable, the void ratio and the porosity,
 250 expressed, for example, as $d_{10}^3 e^3 / (1 + e)$.

251

252 The parametric form of the model by Ren & Santamarina (2018):

253

$$254 \quad k = C \cdot S_{SA}^{-2} e^{C_2}$$

255 20.

256

257 Note that for comparison with Equation 19, $C_1 = C S_{sA}^2$.

258

259 Multivariable models with three or four unknown parameters were also used:

260

$$261 \quad k = \exp C_3 \Delta S^{C_1} S_0^{C_2}$$

262 21.

263

$$264 \quad k = \exp C_4 \Delta S^{C_1} S_0^{C_2} p^{C_3}$$

265 22.

266

267 The base entropy S_0 and the entropy increment ΔS were exchanged with d_{10} and C_U in some
268 cases (and with A and B , see section 4).

269

270 2.2.3 Model fitting, discrimination and validation methods

271 The inverse problem was linear for most of the considered conductivity models when using the
272 logarithmic form of Equation 21 (or 22), e.g.:

273

$$274 \quad \ln k = C_3 + C_1 \ln \Delta S + C_2 \ln S_0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$275 \quad \ln k = C_4 + C_1 \ln \Delta S + C_2 \ln S_0 + C_3 \ln p$$

276

277 23.

278

279 The (multi-)linear model fitting was based on the weak solution of the Gauss Normal Equations
280 of the formulated inverse problem. Subsequently, the standard deviation and coefficient of
281 variation were estimated (Press *et al.*, 2007). The model discrimination was based on either the
282 minima of the normalised merit function called fitting error F or on the R^2 value (defined as one
283 minus the ratio of the residual variance to the total variance of the dependent variable, quantifying
284 the fraction of data variance explained by the model). The results of the model discrimination

285 study are further analysed in Appendix 2, which explores the dependence of model parameters
 286 on the data used for identification and evaluates model accuracy both on the training data and
 287 withheld data.

288

289 **3. Results**

290 **3.1 The database**

291 *3.1.1 Grading curve statistics*

292 The results are shown in Figures 2 to 4, and Tables 1 and 2, as well as Appendix 1. The Weibull
 293 fitting provided the ordinates of the GSD in the cell system (Table 1) to compute the various GSD
 294 statistics. Highly bimodal samples were left out on the basis of the GSD statistics (see Appendix
 295 1). According to the results (Imre *et al.*, 2021), the entropy coordinates changed significantly if the
 296 fines were considered by extending the grading curves up to the possible smallest grain sizes
 297 which were not measured. The precise value of the fines in the grading curve measurement was
 298 essential for the normalized entropy coordinates.

299

300 Table 2 contains the range and mean values of the d_{10} and C_u for the selected samples, Table 3
 301 contains the range and mean values of the non-normalised grading entropy coordinates for the
 302 selected samples. It can be seen that the mean of S_0 increases for Series 1 to 5, the mean of ΔS
 303 decreases for Series 1 to 5 with series number and there is a gap between Series 4 and 5.

304

305 The mean of the selected grading curves of the various series is shown in Figure 2(b). The grading
 306 curves of the selected and all samples of Series 1 to 4 are shown in Figure 3. The grading entropy
 307 coordinates of the selected samples of the old series, the planned samples (to address the gap)
 308 and of the new series are shown in Figure 4(a), in the non-normalised grading entropy diagram.
 309 The three groups of grading curves of the new Series 9 and 10 (with identical composition) are
 310 shown in Figure 4(b).

311

312 Table 2. The statistical features of selected data.

d_{10} [mm]	C_u [-]
---------------	-----------

Series	mean	min	max	mean	min	max
1	0.0055	0.0042	0.0065	27	19	36
2	0.0117	0.0076	0.0154	30	22	38
3	0.0195	0.0089	0.0805	14	2	14
4	0.0391	0.0101	0.0990	13	4	36
5	2.4196	0.7200	5.8200	4.08	2	7
9 – 10*	0.7000	0.2800	1.5900	1.9313	1.59	2.15

313 *new data, entire series

314

315 Table 3. Some statistics of the non-normalised entropy parameters of selected mixtures

Series	mean S_0	min S_0	max S_0	mean ΔS	min ΔS	max ΔS
1	12.8	11.0	14.4	3.2	1.9	3.8
2	14.2	12.0	16.1	3.2	2.4	3.7
3	14.6	11.7	19.1	2.9	1.1	3.5
4	15.2	11.4	18.2	2.6	2.2	3.5
5	17.5	16.1	18.6	1.6	0.6	2.2
9 – 10*	16.5	15.3	17.8	0.9	0.8	1.00

316 *new data, entire series

317

318 3.1.2 Saturated water hydraulic conductivity

319 In Figure 5, the k is shown in terms of the single (diameter or lumped) curve variables. Each d_i
 320 correlated positively; the best was the d_{10} . However, the lumped variables like $d_{10}d_{10}e^3/(1+e)$ with
 321 extra porosity term showed correlation improvement.

322

323 In Figure 6, the k is shown in terms of C_U and in terms of S_0 and ΔS . Nagy's research gave
 324 separate equation of type $A/(C_U + B) + C d_{10}^2$ for series 1 to 4, with parameters A, B and C,
 325 predicting decrease with C_U and increases with d_{10} .

326

327 In Figures 6(a), (b), semi-linear correlation trends of hydraulic conductivity in terms of C_U are
 328 shown at the various fixed d_{10} range for the unimodal samples of Series 1 to 4, and an evidence

329 of suffosion. There is a basically increasing trend with the series number (related to increasing
330 d_{10} ranges) and decreasing with increasing C_u , in accordance to (Nagy, 2011). A similar trend is
331 shown in Figure 6(c) and (d) in terms of the entropy coordinates: k decreases with increasing ΔS ,
332 like with C_u , and increases with increasing S_0 , similarly to d_{10} , but with a less significant
333 correlation. This will be discussed in section 4.3.

334

335 In Figure 7, the k is shown in terms of simple, single variable S_{SA} , the relative density and the
336 lumped Santamarina's variable, moreover the e is shown in terms of sample number 1 to 15, for
337 the new 2-fraction coarse mixtures.

338

339 The regression is not acceptable in terms of single variables without density term only. The k –
340 relative density graphs exhibit significant separation between loose and dense samples and
341 among grain sizes as follows. In coarsest group 1 k ranges from 0.05 to 0.09 cm/s for dense
342 and from 0.22 to 0.48 cm/s for loose samples. In group 2 k ranges from 0.03 to 0.06 cm/s for
343 samples and from 0.13 to 0.27 cm/s for loose samples. In finest group 3 k ranges from 0.007 to
344 0.012 cm/s for dense and from 0.05 to 0.14 cm/s for loose samples. The great difference can
345 tentatively be explained by a different – possibly honeycomb – structure for the loose samples.

346

347 The Taylor equation simplifies assuming that parameter C is constant as follows:

348

$$349 \frac{k_1}{k_2} = \frac{e_1^2}{e_2^2}$$

350 24.

351

352 Since identical samples were tested at different densities, the fit to Equation 24 was used to
353 indicate the different structure for the denser samples and the looser samples.

354

355 In Figure 7(d), e values are depicted, with e_{min} and e_{max} derived from the literature. The e_{max} was
356 measured by (Lorincz, 1986) on samples with identical composition, while e_{min} was estimated
357 based on data from (Kabai, 1974, Imre *et al.*, 2011).

358

359 **3.2 Model discrimination results**

360 The results are shown in Tables 4 to 6, and are presented separately for the old part, the new
361 part and the entire unimodal database (Figure 2(a)).

362

363 *3.2.1.1 Old part of database (joint series 1 to 5)*

364 The results are shown in Table 4. The following is the fitting quality in accuracy increasing order:

- 365 1. Single variable using diameter - type variables (e.g., d_{10}).
- 366 2. Grading entropy parameter pair only.
- 367 3. Lumped single variable with porosity.
- 368 4. Grading entropy parameter pair combined with void ratio (density information).
- 369 5. Grading entropy parameter pair combined with lumped variables, which provided the best
370 accuracy.

371

372 The pair $S_0 - \Delta S$ was found interchangeable with the pair $d_{10} - C_U$. The latter gave slightly worse
373 values for R^2 as for the grading entropy parameters only and a similar value as when using d_{10}
374 alone. This indicates that the pair $S_0 - \Delta S$ was better for representing the data than the pair $d_{10} -$
375 C_U .

376

377 Table 4. Model discrimination based on the old database, using Series 1-5 jointly..

variable p	R^2 (multiple linear model, entropy parameters and p)	R^2 (single-linear model with p)
$d_{10} \cdot d_{30} \cdot d_{60} \cdot e^3 / (1 + e)$	0.963	0.949
$d_{10}^2 \cdot e^3 / (1 + e)$	0.968	0.946
1	0.934*	
d_{10}		0.9117
d_{30}		0.8231
d_{60}		0.716
e	0.953	0.131

378 * C_U, d_{10} gave $R^2=0.9074$

379

380 3.2.1.2 Elaborated single-variable models

381

382 The elaborated equations for the single variables are shown in Equations 25 to 28. For the
383 predictor variable d_{10} , the following equation resulted ($R^2=0.9117$):

384

$$385 \quad k = 0.878 d_{10}^{2.0213}$$

386 25.

387

388 This is the Hazen equation (Hazen, 1893) with fitted model parameters for this database.

389 The equation obtained with $R^2=0.823$ employing as a predictor variable d_{30} reads

390

$$391 \quad k = 2.265 d_{30}^{2.5571}$$

392 26.

393

394 The equation with $R^2=0.716$ using as a predictor variable d_{60} is:

395

$$396 \quad k = 6.047 d_{60}^{2.6393}$$

397 27.

398

399 In terms of $d_{10}^2 e^3 / (1+e)$, the regression analysis gives for k with $R^2 = 0.946$ the following:

400

$$401 \quad k = 5.868 \left[d_{10}^2 \frac{e^3}{1+e} \right]^{1.0322}$$

402 28.

403

404 This is the Chapuis's equation (Chapuis, 2004) adapted to the new soil data set (the original
405 equation fits the data with $R^2=0.2025$).

406

407 *3.2.1.3 Elaborated multi-variable models*

408 Concerning the multi-variable Equations 21, 22; results are shown in Tables 5 and 6 and in
 409 Equations 29 to 32. The parameters were determined in the equivalent, natural logarithm form
 410 Equation 22. The exponents of the entropy increment ΔS and the base entropy S_0 were the
 411 identified parameters C_1 , C_2 , and the coefficient in Equation 21 was equal to $\exp(C_3)$.

412

413 Table 5 shows the parameters of the 3-parameter entropy variable equation fitted on individual
 414 Series 1 to 5. The parameters depended on the position of series in the entropy diagram (see
 415 Appendix 2), the difference was more significant than the linear error of the parameter
 416 identification. The absolute value of the exponent of ΔS was between 0.45 and 6.41, and the
 417 value of the exponent of S_0 was between 2.8 and 32.9. The exponent of ΔS decreased, while the
 418 exponent of S_0 increased as soil became coarser.

419

420 Table 5 Results of fit of the 3-parameter Equation 21, using data from Series 1 to 5 and joint
 421 Series 1 to 4, parameter estimates and fitting errors (selection of S_0 , as shown in Appendix 1).

422

Series	5	1	2	3	4	1..4
C_1	-0.45	-3.80	-1.63	-1.23	-0.93	-6.41
C_2	32.87	7.11	-4.58	7.77	2.76	4.69
C_3	-92.46	-23.68	3.88	-27.24	-13.82	-14.34
$SD(C_1)$	0.59	1.15	0.44	3.44	1.13	0.92
$SD(C_2)$	4.96	1.81	0.68	8.82	2.09	1.58
$SD(C_3)$	14.39	4.94	1.40	18.80	4.45	3.97
$CV(C_1)$	-1.32	-0.30	-0.27	-2.80	-1.21	-0.14
$CV(C_2)$	0.15	0.25	-0.15	1.13	0.76	0.34
$CV(C_3)$	-0.16	-0.21	0.36	-0.69	-0.32	-0.28
Fitting Error [-]	0.07	2.7E-4	2E-2	2.6E-2	4.8E-3	2E-02

423

424 Table 6 shows the estimated parameters of the various 4-parameter entropy variable equations
 425 identified using joint Series 1 to 5. The absolute value of the exponent of ΔS was between 2.4
 426 and 6.2, and the value of the exponent of S_0 was between 2.6 and 22.2. The value of the
 427 coefficient C varied between $\exp(-59.4)$ to $\exp(-5.7)$, small numbers occurred being in the same
 428 interval as for the 3-parameter case.

429

430 The sign of the exponent of S_0 was generally positive, and the sign of the exponent of ΔS was
 431 generally negative. The coefficient of variation was smaller for the “global” equation (using joint
 432 Series 1-5) than for the series separately.

433

434 Table 6 Results of the fit of the 4-parameter equations, estimated parameters, coefficients of
 435 variation and R^2

p	$d_{10}d_{10}e^3/(1+e)$	$d_{10}^3e^3/(1+e)$	$d_{10}d_{30}d_{60}e^3/(1+e)$	e	entropy parameters only
C_1	-2.4	-3.0	-3.3	-3.2	-6.2
C_2	8.8	8.3	2.6	22.3	17.5
C_3	0.6	0.3	0.4	5.1	-46.6
C_4	-22.3	-21.1	-5.7	-59.4	
$CV(C_1)$	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1
$CV(C_2)$	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.1	0.1
$CV(C_3)$	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
$CV(C_4)$	-0.2	-0.2	-1.2	-0.1	2
R^2	0.968	0.965	0.963	0.953	0.934

436

437 The fitting error was smaller if individual Series 1 and 4 were considered. If Series 2, 3 and 5, or
 438 Series 1-4 or 1-5 were used jointly in derivations, the magnitude of the fitting error was up to two
 439 orders of magnitude larger.

440

441 Some equations obtained using Series 1 to 5 jointly are:

442

443 $k = 7.67\text{E-}21 \Delta S^{-6.21} S_0^{17.51}$

444 29.

445

446 $k = 2.38\text{E-}26 \Delta S^{-3.24} S_0^{22.28} e^{5.15}$

447 30.

448

449 $k = 0.003375 \Delta S^{-3.32} S_0^{2.64} [d_{10}d_{30}d_{60} e^3/(1+e)]^{0.43}$

450 31.

451

452 $k = 2.40\text{E-}10 \Delta S^{-2.4} S_0^{8.8} [d_{10}^2 e^3/(1+e)]^{0.6}$

453 32.

454

455 3.2.2. New data

456 Using new data for two-fraction mixtures consisting of medium- to coarse-grained sand and fine
457 gravel, with identical composition but different densities, the model fitting yielded an R^2 value less
458 than 0.2 when density was not considered as an extra variable and was greater than 0.8
459 incorporating the pair of grading entropy coordinates and the relative density parameter (Table
460 7).

461

462 Table 7, New data (small C_u), model discrimination,

Independent variables (predictors)	R^2
Entropy parameters and relative density	0.8746
Entropy parameters and void ratio	0.7811
Entropy parameters	0.1700

463

464 3.2.3 New and old data together

465 Being included old and new data (Series 1-5, 9-10), using the Ren and Santamaria model on 150
466 samples, the identified with $R^2 = 0.846$ exponent of the void ratio was 8.6, i.e.:

467

468 $k \sim \frac{e^{8.6}}{S_{SA}^2}$

469 33.

470

471 The fitting of the multiple linear equation using the grading entropy parameters and the Ren-
472 Santamarina's variable was successful, achieving R^2 of 0.924. The equation with the estimated
473 parameters reads:

474

475 $k = 1.729 \cdot 10^{-19} \Delta S^{1.005} \cdot S_0^{17.5} \cdot [e^{8.6}/S_{SA}^2]^{0.53}$

476 34.

477

478 4. Discussion

479 4.1 The analysis of the results

480 Various analyses were performed on the results. First, the effect of the training data on the
481 identified parameters and on the model accuracy were considered. The case in which training
482 data were selected is considered in detail in Appendix 2 (Figures A2-1 and 2, Table A2-1). The
483 identified parameters of Equation 21 – depending on the two grading entropy variables - were
484 represented in 1-dimensional form by fixing the one variable. The functions did not intersect
485 each-other if the “hulls” of the entropy coordinates of the training data series were disjunct. The
486 multivariable Equation 21 was more precise if the training data and the tested data were similar.
487 The single-variable Equation 19 (the simple d_{10} - model) was more precise if the training data
488 set was larger than the tested data set. The model discrimination result of the original Series 1
489 to 4 (with bimodal samples) aligned with the foregoing result, however, there was a notable
490 disparity in the R^2 values where gap-graded soil with possible suffusion were included.

491

492 4.2 Some results with normalised grading entropy coordinates and with level lines

493

494 The definition of N - the number of fractions including the smallest and largest non-zero fractions
495 – is not the same in the literature, for example in (Feng *et al.*, 2019), the arbitrary smallest and
496 largest fractions can be zero fractions. The so computed coordinates are not differing from the

497 non-normalised coordinates due to the functional relationships defined by Equations 6 to 9. The
498 two approaches are equivalent; only numerical differences may occur.

499

500 This similarity is illustrated in Figure 8 where the permeability zones and k-level lines are
501 presented in the non-normalised and normalised entropy diagrams. The results are also similar
502 to the earlier data of (Feng et al., 2019).

503

504 Feng *et al.*, 2019 used normalized entropy coordinates A and B for Equations 21 and 22, in
505 combination with the void ratio. For Series 5, models employing the independent variable
506 combinations $A - B$, and $A - B - e$ showed R^2 values of 0.90 and 0.96, respectively. In contrast,
507 for the joint unselected Series 1-2, the R^2 values were 0.23 and 0.27 (Imre *et al.*, 2021). These
508 results support the present model discrimination study.

509

510 However, in the internal stability rule of the grading entropy concept, based on the entropy
511 coordinate A , the sharp definition of N is needed. In future research, it would be interesting to
512 combine the non-normalised grading entropy coordinates with the normalised entropy coordinate
513 A , computed using the sharp definition of N . Some early results are presented on the effect of A
514 in (Imre *et al.*, 2020).

515

516 **4.3 The grading curve statistics**

517 The pair $S_0 - \Delta S$ was found interchangeable with pairs $d_{10} - C_U$ (or $A - B$, using the wider definition
518 of N). To explain this, simulations of mean relations using fractal or mean grading curves (see
519 section 1) and experimental data were considered in Figures 9 and 10, respectively.

520

521 According to Figure 9, the theoretical mean relations for $\Delta S - C_U$ determined using fractal grading
522 curves with $N=5, 7$ and 20 are non-unique (different branch is related to $A <$ or $A > 2/3$) while the
523 theoretical mean relations for $A - d_{10}$ determined using fractal grading curves with $N= 7$ is unique.

524

525 In Figure 9(a), the measured, unselected data are within, the gap-graded data are outside the
526 band bounded by the theoretical mean $\Delta S - C_U$ relation. Similarly, the experimental relation of

527 selected data for $\Delta S - C_U$ seems to have a regression along the area bounded by the theoretical
 528 band of the mean relation (Figure 10(a)). The regression is stronger for $S_0 - d_{10}$ along the
 529 theoretical, unique, mean relation (Figure 10(b)). The theoretical, mean relations may explain that
 530 the regression $C_U - \Delta S$ gives slightly smaller R^2 than the regression $d_{10} - S_0$.

531

532 Concerning other regressions to measured $S_0 - d_i$ data (Table 8, Figure 10(c)), R^2 is larger for S_0
 533 - d_{60} than for $S_0 - d_{10}$ since d_{60} is closer to the mean abstract diameter value, which is the meaning
 534 of S_0 . Concerning the experimental relation for Series 2 and 5, the regressions $d_{10} - d_h$ and $d_{10} - r_m$
 535 are linear in semi-log plot. The d_h was slightly larger than d_{10} for gravel and that d_h was smaller
 536 than d_{10} for fine sand. Further research is suggested on this matter.

537

538 Table 8. Multiple linear regression results for the independent variable combinations of S_0 and
 539 selected d_i .

Variable	R^2	Equations
d_{10}	0.7672	$\ln S_0 = 0.0587 \ln d_{10} + 2.8628$
d_{30}	0.8494	$\ln S_0 = 0.0777 \ln d_{30} + 2.8138$
d_{60}	0.8363	$\ln S_0 = 0.0886 \ln d_{60} + 2.7553$

540

541 5. Summary and conclusions

542

543 5.1 Model discrimination

544 The parametric saturated hydraulic conductivity models examined here were three types. The
 545 first model set was based on single, classical variables like the harmonic mean (d_h) or d_{10} , the
 546 void ratio e , porosity $e/(1+e)$ or a lumped variable of these. The second model set was based on
 547 variable pairs (the non-normalised grading entropy coordinate pair $S_0 - \Delta S$ or the pair $d_{10} - C_U$).
 548 The third model set was based on the combination of variables of the first model set and on a
 549 variable pair of the second model sets.

550

551 A unimodal database was started to be built for this purpose re-evaluating some previous
 552 databases and providing some new data for granular materials ranging from silt to gravel. The

553 bimodal samples of the old databases were left out since for these mixtures the permeability
554 test was not precise due to suffusion. The identification of the parameters of the suggested
555 models was made by multiple linear regression based on various subsets of the new database.
556 The R^2 was generally significant, but the result was the best when the model sets 1 and 2 were
557 combined. The difference among the model variants was small for Series 5 with small C_U .

558

559 All identified parameters depended on the range of grading entropy coordinates of the data
560 used for parameter identification, as well as implicitly on grain shapes and other factors.

561 The successfully tested models were as follows:

- 562 • single-variable models, using one lumped variable consisting of some squared diameter
563 variables and at least the second power of void ratio, or more complicated forms, like
564 $d_{10} \cdot d_{30} \cdot d_{60} \cdot e^3 / (1 + e)$ being related to the hydraulic radius and the porosity terms of
565 Taylor's equation;
- 566 • multi-variable models, with a variable pair $S_0 - \Delta S$ or pair $d_{10} - C_U$ (alone or being
567 completed by either a density terms or one of the previous lumped variables), expressing
568 the missing pore geometry information of Taylor's equation.

569

570 **5.2 Taylor equation**

571 The Taylor equation contains the porosity, squared hydraulic radius (mean pore volume), and
572 the constant that expresses the pore geometry. This fact may explain why most k - models
573 contain the third product of the void ratio and the second pore a diameter value (the best is the
574 harmonic mean diameter based on the fraction cell system and all measured data).

575

576 The grading entropy theory (Lorincz, 1986) offers a complementary statistical system of
577 quantifying the GSD, using all data measured in the sieving test. The model discrimination
578 results supported the hypothesis that the non-normalised grading entropy parameters may give
579 information on the geometry of GSD (mean abstract diameter and spread of the distribution)
580 and by duality on the geometry of POSD, which is needed for the Taylor equation.

581

582 **5.3 Future research**

583 In future research, in the multivariable models, the non-normalised grading entropy coordinates
584 are planned to be completed by the normalised entropy coordinate A , computed using the sharp
585 definition of N which may link some additional packing information.

586

587 The dependencies of the parameters on the data used for model fitting are planned to be
588 quantitatively determined in future research. To achieve this, the database will be completed
589 and parallel tests with varying grain shapes, e.g., laboratory experiments and numerical
590 simulations using the discrete element method, are planned to be conducted. More research is
591 needed on the present database and on the suggested models families.

592

593 The relative density -- giving the best result for sands as density variable, depending on three
594 parameters (the void ratio e , the e_{max} , e_{min} being the void ratio at minimum and maximum dry
595 densities) -- can be a relevant parameter of the future saturated hydraulic conductivity of sands.
596 Note that our data analysis has considered the use of void ratio both as an individual and
597 lumped/composite grain-size permeability relationships. It can be determined from two tests
598 only, based on the research of (Kabai, 1974,) using that the ratio e_{max}/e_{min} is about constant.

599

600 **Acknowledgements**

601 The discussions with Professor Nagy and Professor Paul Vardanega are greatly acknowledged.
602 The help of former students Levente Répássy, Dang Thi Quynh Huong, and Lizeth Lamas Lopez
603 is acknowledged. M. Datcheva acknowledges the partial support by Grant No BG05M2OP001-
604 1.001-0003-C01, financed by the Science and Education for Smart Growth Operational Program
605 (2014-2020) and co-financed by the European Union through the European Structural and
606 Investment funds. D. Barreto acknowledges the support provided by the Royal Academy of
607 Engineering Leverhulme Trust Fellowship 2023 (LTRF2223-19-134) that enabled him to focus on
608 research work.

609

610 **Contributions**

611 Eموke Imre. Conceptualisation. Data curation. Formal analysis. Funding acquisition.
612 Methodology. Writing. Review and editing.

613 Zsombor Illés. Conceptualisation. Data curation. Investigation. Writing
614 Francesca Casini. Data curation. Investigation. Validation
615 Giulia Guida. Data curation. Investigation. Validation
616 Shuyin Feng. Data curation. Validation. Writing
617 Maria Datcheva. Conceptualisation. Data curation. Funding acquisition. Methodology.
618 Writing. Review and editing.
619 Wiebke Baille. Data curation. Investigation. Validation
620 Ágnes Bálint. Conceptualisation. Methodology. Writing. Review and editing.
621 Delphin Kabey Mwinken. Investigation. Validation
622 János Lorincz. Conceptualisation. Methodology. Writing
623 James Leak. Data curation. Investigation. Writing. Review and editing.
624 Daniel Barreto. Conceptualisation. Data curation. Funding acquisition. Writing. Review and
625 editing.
626

627

628 References

629 Casini F, Guida G, Bartoli M, Viggiani GMB (2017) Grading evolution of an artificial granular
630 material from medium to high stress under one-dimensional compression. *Rivista Italiana di*
631 *Geotecnica* 51(4): 69–80, <https://doi.org/DOI:10.19199/2017.4.0557-1405.69>
632 Carman PC (1937) Fluid flow through granular beds. *Chemical Engineering Research and*
633 *Design* 15: S32–S48, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8762\(97\)80003-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8762(97)80003-2)
634 Carman PC (1939) Permeability of saturated sands, soils and clays. *The Journal of Agricultural*
635 *Science* 29(2): 262–273, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600051789>
636 Carrier WD (2003) Goodbye, Hazen; Hello, Kozeny-Carman. *Journal of Geotechnical and*
637 *Geoenvironmental Engineering* 129(11): 1054–1056, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2003)129:11(1054))
638 [0241\(2003\)129:11\(1054\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2003)129:11(1054))
639 Chapuis RP (2004) Predicting the saturated hydraulic conductivity of sand and gravel using
640 effective diameter and void ratio. *Can Geotech J* 41(5): 787–795, [https://doi.org/10.1139/t04-](https://doi.org/10.1139/t04-022)
641 [022](https://doi.org/10.1139/t04-022)
642 Chen, R.-P, Liu, P., Liu, X.-M, Wang, P.-F. & Kang, X. (2019) Pore-scale model for estimating
643 the bimodal soil–water characteristic curve and hydraulic conductivity of compacted soils
644 with different initial densities. *Engineering Geology*, 260, 105199,
645 Feng S (2017) Assessing the permeability of pavement construction materials by using grading
646 entropy theory. MSc thesis, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

- 647 Feng S, Vardanega PJ, Ibraim E, Widyatmoko I, Ojum C (2019) Permeability assessment of
648 some granular mixtures. *Géotechnique* 69(7): 646–654, <https://doi.org/10.1680/jgeot.17.T.039>
- 649 Guida G, Bartoli M, Casini F, Viggiani GMB (2016) Weibull Distribution to Describe Grading
650 Evolution of Materials with Crushable Grains. *Procedia Engineering* 158:75–80,
651 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.08.408>
- 652 Hazen A (1893) Some physical properties of sand and gravels. Massachusetts State Board of
653 Health, Wright & Potter Printing, Boston, MA, USA, pp.
- 654 Imre E, Fityus S, Keszeyné E, Schanz T (2011) A Comment on the Ratio of the Maximum and
655 Minimum Dry Density for Sands. *Geotechnical Engineering Journal of the SEAGS &*
656 *AGSSEA* 42(4):77–82.
- 657 Imre E, Lorincz J, Hazay M, Juhász M, Rajkai K, Schanz T, Lins Y, Singh VP, Hortobágyi Zs
658 (2014) Sand mixture density in: of UNSAT2014. pp. 691–697.
- 659 Imre E, Lorincz J, Barreto D, Trang PQ, Csonka I, Kacsvinszki-Szabó V, Telekes G. (2019)
660 Preliminary study on the relationship between dry density of sands and the grading entropy
661 parameters. XVII ECSMGE. Reykjavík, Iceland : 1–6 of September 2019 pp. 996-1002.
662 Paper: 167797.
- 663 Imre E, Balint A, Barreto D, Khaliunaa A, Boldbaatar T, Dang T, Guadalupe L, Illés Z (2020) The
664 Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of 2-Fraction Granular Soils and the Internal Stability, In:
665 Hosam, E.A.F. Bayoumi Hamuda. 11th International Annual Conference on Sustainable
666 Environmental Protection & Waste Management Responsibility, ICEEE 2020: Proceedings
667 Book. Budapest, Magyarország: Óbudai Egyetem, pp. 267-274.
- 668 Imre E, Bálint Á, Nagy L, Lorincz J and Illés Zs (2021) Examination of saturated hydraulic
669 conductivity using grading curve functions, in Proceedings of 6th International Conference on
670 Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterisation, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 1–12.
- 671 Imre E, Hortobágyi Zs, Illés Zs, Nagy L, Talata I, Barreto D, Fityus S, Singh VP (2022) Statistical
672 parameters and grading curves. In: Rahman R, Jaksa J (eds) Proceedings of the 20th
673 International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering. Australian
674 Geomechanics Society, Sydney Australia, pp 713–718

- 675 Imre E, Firgi T, Baille W, Datcheva M, Barreto D, Feng S, Singh V (2023) Soil parameters in
676 terms of entropy coordinates. E3S Web of Conf. (Vol. 382, p. 25003). UNSAT 2023,
677 <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202338225003>
- 678 Kabai I (1974) The effect of grading on the compatibility of coarse-grained soils. Periodica
679 Polytechnica Civil Engineering 18(4):255–275.
- 680 Kozeny J (1927) Über kapillare Leitung des Wassers im Boden. Akademie der Wissenschaften,
681 Wien, pp 271–306
- 682 Li, S.-L., He, R.-J and Kang, X. (2023). Permeability of polymer-modified kaolinite and fly ash–
683 kaolinite mixtures. Géotechnique Letters, 13:4, 211-217
- 684 Lorincz J (1986) Grading entropy of soils Doctoral Thesis. Technical Sciences, TU of Budapest,
685 Hungary
- 686 Lorincz J, Imre E, Fityus S, Trang P, Tarnai T, Talata I, Singh V (2015) The Grading Entropy-
687 based Criteria for Structural Stability of Granular Materials and Filters. Entropy 17(5): 2781–
688 2811, <https://doi.org/10.3390/e17052781>
- 689 Nagy L (2011) Permeability of well graded soils. Per Pol Civil Eng 55(2): 199–204,
690 <https://doi.org/10.3311/pp.ci.2011-2.12>
- 691 Nagy L (2012) Characterization of piping with grading entropy on the Surány example. Per Pol
692 Civil Eng 56(1):107-113, <https://doi.org/10.3311/pp.ci.2012-1.12>
- 693 Pap M, Mahler A (2018) Comparison of Different Empirical Correlations to Estimate
694 Permeability Coefficient of Quaternary Danube Soils. Period Polytech Civil Eng 63(1): 25–2,
695 <https://doi.org/10.3311/PPci.13108>
- 696 Press WH, Teukolsky SA, Wetterling WT, Flannery BP (2007) Numerical recipes in C: the art of
697 scientific computing. Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New
698 York, pp. 1–994.
- 699 Ren XW, Santamarina JC (2018) The hydraulic conductivity of sediments: A pore size
700 perspective, Engineering Geology, 233: 48–54, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2017.11.022>
- 701 Singh VP. Entropy theory in hydraulic engineering: an introduction (2014). American Society of
702 Civil Engineers, p.656.
- 703 Taylor DW (1948) Fundamentals of soil mechanics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, USA,
704 pp. 1–700.

705

706

707 **Figure captions**

708

709 Figure 1. (a, b) Grain size distribution and density functions of a sand. Legend: in terms of
710 diameter d (dashed line); in terms of abstract diameter D (solid line), see Table 1.

711

712 Figure 2. Data processing of old and new samples (a). Weibull fit, with completion for fines (series
713 2, sample 13, bimodal). (b) Mean, selected, unimodal-grading curves for series 1 to 9.

714

715 Figure 3. Sample selection for series 1-4. (a) Selected unimodal samples. (b) All samples.

716

717 Figure 4. Data processing results of old and new samples. (a) Selected, new and planned samples
718 in the non-normalised grading entropy diagram. (b) Series 9 (= 10), grading curves of new, 2-
719 fraction mixtures, three groups, serial numbers (sample id-s) 1 to 15.

720

721 Figure 5. The saturated hydraulic conductivity in terms of a single variable. (a) to (c) k in terms of
722 d_{10} , d_{30} , d_{60} , respectively (each d_i correlates positively, and the best is d_{10}). (d) k in terms of lumped-
723 variable of the Chapuis model (significant improvement in correlation).

724

725 Figure 6. The saturated hydraulic conductivity in terms of elements of pairs $d_{10} - C_U$ or $\Delta S - S_0$.

726 (a) The k in terms of C_U , Series 1 to 4, selected samples, and (b) Series 2, all samples (gap-
727 graded, non-selected soils showed suffusion). (c) and (d) The k in terms of ΔS and S_0 .

728

729 Figure 7. Newly measured 2-fraction mixtures data. (a) to (c): Saturated hydraulic conductivity in
730 terms of S_{sA} ; relative density; Ren and Santamarina variable; resp. (d) the void ratio with
731 approximate values at the maximum and minimum dry density. Legend: circles – loose samples,
732 squares – dense samples.

733

734 Figure 8 The zones of saturated permeability k (a) Approximate level lines in non-normalised
735 entropy diagram (Imre *et al.*, 2021). (b) Series 5 in the normalised entropy diagram (Feng *et al.*
736 2019) (c) The same as (a) in the normalised diagram.

737

738 Figure 9. Mean relations of fractal soils, various N values. (a) $C_U - \Delta S$ with measured,
739 unselected data for Series 3, the unimodal samples are within the band of mean relation, (b)
740 $d_{10} - A$.

741

742 Figure 10. (a) and (b): The experimental, relations $C_U - \Delta S$ and $d_{10} - S_0$ on selected data. (c) The
743 experimental relations of $d_{10} - d_h$ and $d_{10} - r_m$, Series 2 and 5 data.

744

745 Figure A2-1. The simplified k – models related to Equation 21 with parameters identified from
746 various training series. (a) with respect to ΔS and (b) with respect to S_0

747

748 Figure A2-2. Goodness-of-fit for models using various datasets. (a) results considering Eq. 21.
749 (b) results considering Eq. 19. (c) results considering Eq. 21 and a larger dataset. (d) Model
750 performance in terms of d_{10}

751

752

753

754 **Appendix 1 Some notes to the fraction system, and to the use of the abstract diameter**

755 An abstract fraction system is given in terms of d_0 being limited by the smallest diameter, some
 756 related information is given in Table A1-1 The soil types related to the suggested d_0 and base
 757 entropy values are shown in Table A1-2 in two different ways.

758 Table A1 -1. Diameter sizes

order of magnitude	size in m	2^n , exponent n	fraction serial number
	1.53E-08	-26	0
SiO ₄ tetrahedron	3.05E-08	-25	1
a few microns	3.91E-06	-18	8*
1 mm sieve	1.00E-03	-10	16
gravel	6.40E-02	-4	22
km (~4 km)	4.19E+03	12	38

759 *Range of comminuting limit of small particles by compression (Kendall, 1978)

760

761 Table A1-2. The soil fractions in terms of abstract diameter D

name	gravel	sand	silt
d [mm]	32-2	2- 0.0625	0.065 - 0.001955
S_{0i} or D [-]	20 - 17	16- 12	11 - 7
S_{0i} or D [-]*	21 - 18	17 - 13	12 - 8

762 *alternative, upper values (Tables 4 to 6 are based on the values in row 2).

763

764 Using the fact, that the normalized grading entropy parameters A and B have unique, monotonic
 765 mean relationship with the skewness and kurtosis of (of abstract diameter) variable D , the
 766 various soil series were tabulated in terms of these. Extreme values indicated bi-unimodal, near
 767 gap-graded soils which were left out from the unimodal database (Table A1 - 3).

768

769 Table A1-3. Selecting unimodal grading curves on the basis of skew C_S and kurtosis C_K of D
 770 and the normalised grading entropy coordinates, on the example of Series 1. Extreme values
 771 (deviating most from the mean) were bi-modal, near gap-graded soils (indicated in bold).

772

	CS	A	C _k +3	B
1*	-1.08	0.68	5.05	1.00
2	-0.58	0.56	3.36	1.16
3	-0.45	0.56	3.05	1.21
4	-0.41	0.58	2.74	*1.28
5	-0.20	0.59	2.30	1.28
6	-0.11	0.61	1.99	1.26
7	0.16	0.64	0.83	1.32
8	-1.29	0.60	4.67	1.03
9	-1.46	0.66	4.99	0.86
10*	0.07	0.64	1.08	1.29
11*	0.06	0.67	0.89	1.25
12*	-1.86	0.57	6.57	0.90
13*	-1.52	0.57	5.53	0.97
mean	-0.67	0.61	3.31	1.14

773

774

775 **Appendix 2: Discussion of the models**

776 The following questions were investigated to prove the reliability of the new models, using
777 various training and testing datasets, in a preliminary manner.

778

779 Question 1. How does the simulated k with Eq 21 at various training datasets compare in terms
780 of the entropy variables?

781 In Eq 21, the k is a function of ΔS and S_0 . The parameters identified by the various training data
782 subsets are different, as shown in Tables 5, 6. The question how the identified coefficients of
783 Equation 21 may vary in terms of various training data subsets was examined such that $\Delta S - k$
784 functions and $S_0 - k$ functions were defined by using fixed S_0 and ΔS , resp., (being equal to the
785 mean value given in Table 3). In Figure A2-1(a) and (b), generally the k decreases with ΔS and
786 increases with S_0 but differently for the various subsets. The Series 1 appears disjoint in (also
787 in the entropy diagram, Figure 2(a)).

31

788 Question 2. How does the goodness of k simulated with Eq 21 and Eq 19 compare at various
789 training set on general data of Series 2?

790 The prediction accuracy of Equation 21 and 19 was tested using the whole, unselected Series
791 2. The results are shown in Figure A2-2 (a) and (b). For Eq 21 (with only entropy variables),
792 the prediction was better for smaller than from larger training data set. For Eq 19 (with only d_{10}),
793 the reverse was true. In other words, the finding was different for Equation 21 and for 19.
794

795 Question 3. How does the goodness of k simulated with Eq 21 compare at various training set
796 on selected data of Series 1 to 4? The accuracy of Equation 21 (prediction with only on entropy
797 parameters) on selected series 1 to 4 was tested. The prediction was better for smaller than
798 from larger training data set set (Figure A2-2 (c)), similarly to the previous case.
799

800 Question 4. How does the k simulated with Eq 21 and Eq 19 compare in terms of d_{10} ?

801 The S_0 - d_{10} relation determined here (see Table 8) was used to change variable S_0 into d_{10} , and
802 the value of DS was fixed at various values. It was found in Figure A2- 2(d) that the tested
803 models were basically similar in terms of d_{10} . It is important to note that the entropy variable
804 based equation does not work for DS =0, but is working for DS >0.5.
805

806 Question 5. How does the model rank compare on general data (interpolation case)?

807 Four models were used, ρ , $S_0 - \Delta S$, $S_0 - \Delta S - e$, and $S_0 - \Delta S - \rho$ where ρ was the Ren-Santamarina's
808 variable with exponent 8. The results showed the same model discrimination results as for the
809 selected series, according to result in Table A2-1, for various models, considering the complete
810 data, the R^2 variation was similar. Series 2 showed the worse result, possibly due to the
811 inclusion of the gap-graded mixtures showing suffusion during the permeability test.
812

813 Question 6. How is the goodness of fit of various models for extrapolation?

814 Seven experiments of Series 9 were used to validate the elaborated relationships presented in
815 this study. The results in Table A2-2 were characterized by the mean squared difference of the
816 measured and computed values, called variance here. The most sophisticated model-versions
817 Eq 22 were not working (with entropy parameters and ρ), the single variable models Eq 19 and

818 the only entropy parameter models Eq 21 were better. The d_{10} equation without any other
 819 variables also provided good results.

820

821 Table A2-1. The R^2 in case of various model variables, non-selected samples

series	test #	p	$S_0, \Delta S$	$S_0, \Delta S, e$	$S_0, \Delta S, p$
1	13	0.0613	0.7077	0.7093	0.7119
2	18	0.1837	0.2424	0.2519	0.3088*
3	30	0.0107	0.6117	0.595	0.5963
4	12	0.4362	0.622	0.7086	0.7593
5	30	0.8905	0.83	0.8917	0.9362

822 Note: The variable p was Ren & Santamarina's (2018) variable with exponent 8

823

824 Table A2-2. The variance of extrapolation error, training data set 1..5, tested data from series 9.

	Eq 21 ⁰	Eq 22 ⁺	Eq 22 ⁺	Eq 19 [*]
p	-	$d_{10}^2 e^3 / (1+e)$	$d_{10}^* d_{30}^* d_{60}^* e^3 / (1+e)$	d_{10}^2
error	1.1	57.7	28.9	0.4

825 ⁰ only entropy coordinates, ^{*} classical variables only, ⁺ with entropy coordinates

826



































































