# Structural optimization of 4-(imidazol-5-yl)pyridine derivatives affords broad-spectrum anticancer agents with selective $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{RAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase inhibitory activity: Synthesis, in vitro assays and in silico study 
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#### Abstract

In the current article, we introduce design of a new series of 4-(imidazol-5-yl)pyridines with improved anticancer activity and selective $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{RAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase inhibitory activity. Based on a previous work, a group of structural modifications were applied affording the new potential antiproliferative agents. Towards extensive biological assessment of the target compounds, an in vitro anticancer assay was conducted over NCI 60-cancer cell lines panel representing blood, lung, colon, CNS, skin, ovary, renal, prostate, and breast cancers. Compounds 7c, 7d, $\mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 c}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{1 0 d}$, and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ exhibited the highest potency among the tested compounds and demonstrated sub-micromolar or one-digit micromolar $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values against the majority of the employed cell lines. Compound 10c emerged as the most potent agent with nano-molar activity over most of the cells and incredible activity against melanoma (MDA-MB-435) cell line ( $\mathrm{GI}_{50} 70 \mathrm{nM}$ ). It is much more potent than sorafenib, the clinically used anticancer drug, against almost all the NCI-60 cell lines. Further cell-based mechanistic assays showed that compound 10c induced cell cycle arrest and promoted apoptosis in K562, MCF-7 and HT29 cancer cell lines. In addition, compound 10c induced autophagy in the three cancer cell lines. Kinase profiling of 10c showed its inhibitory effects and selectivity towards $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{RAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 1.84 and $0.726 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Docking of compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ disclosed its high affinity in the kinases pockets. Compound 10c represent a promising anticancer agent, that could be optimized in order to improve its kinase activity aiming at developing potential anticancer agents. The conformational stability of compound 10c in the active site of B$\mathrm{RAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases was studied by applying molecular dynamic simulation of the compound in the two kinases for 600 ns in comparison to the native ligands.
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## 1. Introduction

Cancer is a major public health problem worldwide and is considered the second leading cause of death after cardiac diseases (Krishnan et al., 2020). In 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that cancer is the first or second foremost cause of death before the age of 70 years in 112 of 183 countries and ranks third or fourth in a further 23 countries (Mathers, 2020). Despite the diversity of cancer treatment strategies, chemotherapy has been the most common approach for cancer therapy (Chabner and Roberts, 2005). Up to date, over 100 anticancer agents have been approved by U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (Wan et al., 2019). The currently available chemotherapeutics are widely used and exhibit a remarkable result in the treatment of various cancer types. However, chemotherapies are hampered by their low specificity and the consequent undesirable side effects and toxicity (Zhang et al., 2019). In addition, the arisen drug resistance occurs to be the major obstacle for the success cancer chemotherapy plans and even the most effective therapy usually fails to bring a complete and lasting tumor cure (Chatterjee and Bivona, 2019, Garcia-Mayea et al., 2020). Therefore, developing new anticancer agents with improved potency, low toxicity, and multiple mechanisms of action represents a challenge for pharmaceutical researchers (Bolognesi and Cavalli, 2016, Ding et al., 2020). Targeting protein kinases is one of the most common and efficient strategy in cancer therapy because of their crucial rule in mediating most cellular signal transductions and regulate different vital cellular activities such as proliferation, survival, apoptosis, metabolism, transcription, differentiation, and a wide array of other cellular process (Wada and Penninger, 2004, Shchemelinin et al., 2006). Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) are key regulators in transduction of extracellular stimuli to cellular responses (Aouadi et al., 2006). MAPKs consist of three main families: ERKs (extracellular-signal-regulated kinases), JNKs (c-Jun $N$-terminal kinases), and p38/SAPKs (stress-activated protein kinases) (Zhang and Dong, 2007). RAF kinases (ARAF, BRAF, and CRAF) embrace key components in ERK branch of MAPK cascade. RAF kinases bind to RAS as the upstream activator and this results in consequent activation of both MEK $1 / 2$ and their downstream regulators ERK1/2, which finally leads to promotion of cell proliferation and migration (Kidgera et al., 2017, Roskoski Jr, 2019). BRAF mutation is detected in $60 \%$ of melanoma and in a number of human cancer types such as colorectal
carcinomas, papillary thyroid carcinoma, hairy cell leukemia, and lung cancer (Corcoran et al., 2010, Tiacci et al., 2011, Prahallad et al., 2012, Holderfield et al., 2014). On other hand, p38 MAPKs isoforms (p38 $\alpha, \beta$, $\gamma$, and $\delta$ ) are activated in response to stress or cytokines. MAP3K phosphorylates either MKK3 or MKK6, that then phosphorylates the activation loop of p38 isoforms, which consequently activate various p38 MAPK substrates like transcription factors, protein kinases as well as other proteins such as Cdc25 and GS, that leads finally to regulation of cell migration, invasion, and metastasis (Ono and Han, 2000, Cuenda and Rousseau, 2007, El Rawas et al., 2020). Furthermore, p38 $\alpha$ regulates the tumor suppressor protein, which mediates apoptotic cell death (Düzgün et al., 2017, Amin et al., 2018). Developing BRAF inhibitors and p38 $\alpha$ inhibitors is considered a successful strategy for the treatment of MAPK pathway hyperactivation-related disorders.

In our previous work, we reported the design and synthesis of pyridinyl imidazole and pyrimidinyl imidazole derivatives as potent, dual inhibitors of BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases (Ali et al., 2021a, Ali et al., 2021b, Ali et al., 2021c). The reported compounds exhibited distinguished inhibitory activity against BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2.5 and 85 nM , respectively) for the most potent derivative (Ali et al., 2021c). Despite their high potency against kinases, those previously reported derivatives did not exhibit promising anticancer activity, which could be owing to poor cell permeability. For example, the most potent kinase inhibitor of the previously reported series demonstrated modest potency against LOX IMVI melanoma cell line ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=13.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Fig. 1). In the current study, a group of structural optimizations were conducted aiming at improving the compound's lipophilicity and their cell permeability along with maintaining their enzyme inhibitory activity. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the modifications targeted two sites. The first is the substitution at imidazole's C2, which represents either the water accessible area in BRAF kinase or the phosphate region in p38 $\alpha$ kinase. In the previous work, C 2 was substituted by phenyl moiety, which did not show a significant contribution to the compound's affinity to the active site of the two kinases. In the present work, different hydrophilic substituents (amides, sulfonamides, and carbamates) were introduced in this position striving for enhancing either the compounds solubilization in the BRAF kinase or enabling the compounds extension in the phosphate area of $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$. The second presented modification was targeting the substitution at C5 of the imidazole ring, which is hypothesized to either contribute in the BRAF inhibitors
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Fig. 1. Structural optimization of reported imidazole derivatives to afford new derivatives with improved binding affinity and antiproliferative activity.
unique binding in the RAS pocket or provide extra binding in p38 $\alpha$ front pocket. In the current work, structural simplification occurred by replacement of the long chain substitutions on the pyridine ring with bromine atom, which is proposed to form a halogen bond in the two kinases active sites, as well as improving the cell permeability.

Herein, we present the development of 4-(imidazol-5-yl)pyridinebased derivatives with highly improved broad-spectrum anticancer activity. The anticancer activity of target compounds was evaluated over NCI-60 human cancer cell lines panel. After preliminary testing of the target compounds at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, eight compounds were further evaluated in 5 -dose assay to determine their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. It demonstrated an extraordinary potency against the NCI-60 cell line panel. Most of its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are in sub-micromolar scale, and it is much more potent than sorafenib, reference standard anticancer drug. In vitro cell-based mechanistic studies and autophagy analysis were conducted for the most potent anticancer agent 10c in order to get insights about the possible underlying mechanism(s) for its antiproliferative activity. In addition, compound 10c was evaluated for its kinase inhibitory effect against a panel of 21 oncogenic protein kinases and showed a significant selectivity towards $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases among the tested kinases. Molecular docking study was conducted for the target compounds to illustrate the relation between the observed $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and p38 ki nases inhibitory activity and the molecular interaction of each derivative. In addition, molecular dynamic simulation study was carried out for compound 10c to study its conformational stability in the two kinases, the potential energy was calculated during the stimulation process in a time interval of 0.5 ns for 600 ns .

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Chemistry

The intermediate compounds as well as the target compounds were purified by flash column chromatography using silica gel 60 (0.040$0.063 \mathrm{~mm}, 230-400$ mesh ASTM) and technical grade solvents. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analyses were carried out on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Melting points were measured on a Walden Precision Apparatus Electrothermal 9300 apparatus and were uncorrected. LC-MS analysis was conducted using the following system: Waters 2998 photodiode array detector, Waters 3100 mass detector, Waters SFO system fluidics organizer, Waters 2545 binary gradient module, Waters reagent manager, Waters 2767 sample manager, Sunfire ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{C}^{18}$ column ( $4.6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size); Solvent gradient $=95 \%$ A at $0 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \% \mathrm{~A}$ at 5 min ; solvent A: $0.035 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water; solvent B: 0.035\% TFA in MeOH ; flow rate $=3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.; the AUC was calculated using Waters MassLynx 4.1 software. The solvents and liquid reagents were transferred using hypodermic syringes. All the solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial companies and used as such.

### 2.1.1. Synthesis of methyl 3-methoxybenzoate (2)

A mixture of 3-methoxybenzoic ( $1,5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and methanol (50 mL ) were heated under reflux until compound 1 was dissolved in methanol then few drops of concentrated sulfuric acid was added to the mixture and refluxed for 8 h . The resulting mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added to the mixture to neutralize the benzoic acid, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under vacuum to get the ester derivative 2.

Yield: $90 \%$; m.p.: $110-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 3.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OOCH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.9$, $159.9,131.4,129.6,122.2,119.4,114.2$ [Ar-C], $55.3\left(\mathrm{OOCH}_{3}\right), 52.0$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
2.1.2. Synthesis of 2-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1one (3)

To a solution of 2-bromo-4-picoline ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, LiHMDS ( $11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF, 10.8 mmol ) was slowly added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to the reaction mixture maintaining the temperature at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, a solution of compound $2(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0$ mmol ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was slowly added to the reaction mixture under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 6 hours at room temperature. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( 20 mL ), and ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) was added. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3-10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with saline and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated under vacuum to yield the title compound 3, which were subjected to the next step without further purification.

### 2.1.3. Synthesis of 1-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (4)

A solution of compound $3(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 10 mL ) was heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hydrobromic acid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stirred at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was poured carefully to a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried and evaporated to get the required compound 4.

Yield: $80 \%$; m.p.: $115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.63$ (d, $J$ $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], $3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 191.7,191.0,160.3,151.6,143.5,141.2,133.3$, 130.3, 127.2, 123.4, 122.7, 121.3, 113.1 [Ar-C], $55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

### 2.1.4. Synthesis of 2-bromo-4-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-5-yl)pyridine (5)

To a solution of compound $4(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid ( 10 mL ), ammonium acetate ( 3.1 g , 40 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was poured in ammonia solution with crushed ice. The resulted precipitate was filtered, washed with water three times, and dried under vacuum to get the titled compound 5.

Yield: $85 \%$; m.p.: $203-204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.37$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], $3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.1,149.5,149.3,141.5,130.0,129.8$, $127.0,125.0,120.9,120.5,118.3,114.5,114.2,113.9$ [Ar-C], 54.5 $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

### 2.1.5. Synthesis of 4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-

 imidazol-2-yl)aniline (6)To a solution of compound $5(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethyl acetate ( 50 $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{SnCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.04 \mathrm{~g}, 35.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise while stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction temperature was raised gradually to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was heated for 4 hours. The reaction progression was monitored by TLC. After reaction completion, the reaction mixture was neutralized by saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, extracted by ethyl acetate ( 20 mL X 3 ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under vacuum to produce the titled compound 6 . The formed residue was subjected to the next step reaction without further purification.

### 2.1.6. General procedure for synthesis of compounds $7 a-d$ and $8 a, b$

To a solution of compound $6(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 6 mL ) and DIPEA ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the corresponding acyl chlorides or sulfonyl chlorides ( 0.36 mmol ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . After reaction completion, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (thrice, 10 mL each time). The combined organic layers were washed with brine two times
and the organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane: ethyl acetate 2:1 (v/v)) to obtain compounds 7a-d and 8a,b.
2.1.6.1. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)acetamide (7a). Yield: 74\%; m.p.: $139-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.12$ (s, 1H), 8.27 (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.02 (d, $J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (d, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], 3.81 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.8(\mathrm{CO})$, $159.9,150.8,142.3,140.4,126.6,124.9,119.4$ [Ar-C], $55.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $24.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 464.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.6.2. N-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)propionamide (7b). Yield: 75\%; m.p.: $121-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) [Ar-H], 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH3), 2.21 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.03\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.5$ (CO), 159.7, 149.6, 147.2, 142.4, 138.6, 130.1, 126.7, 125.3, $123.1,120.4,114.6,114.1,55.4$ [Ar-C], $30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 9.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; LC/MS $478.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.6.3. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)isobutyramide (7c). Yield: 80\%; m.p.: $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (d, $J=2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], $3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.51-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $1.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.6$ (CO), 149.5, 147.3, 142.3, 138.8, 131.7, 130.0, 125.4, 121.0, 120.5, 114.5, $114.0[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}], 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 36.3\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 19.45\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $18.97\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 492.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.6.4. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)benzamide (7d). Yield: 75\%; m.p.: 151-153 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) $[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 167.4 (CO), 160.2, 149.3, 147.6, 144.8, 141.5, 139.7, 134.7, 131.6, $129.8,129.3,128.2,127.3,126.3,125.1,120.9,120.5,114.3$ [Ar-C], $54.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; LC/MS $526.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.6.5. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)methanesulfonamide (8a). Yield: $80 \%$; m.p.: $150-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 12.97$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.26 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, J $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.07 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.0$ (CO), 150.8, 142.3, 139.6, 130.6, 127.1, 125.5, 119.8 [Ar-C], $55.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ LC/MS $500.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.6.6. N-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)benzenesulfonamide (8b). Yield: 73\%; m.p.: $127-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 12.08$ (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 11.78 (d, $J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 11.75-11.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 11.48-11.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.38-$ $11.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 11.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 10.99-10.96 (m, 2H), 10.91 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) [Ar-H], 7.74 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.8,153.4,145.9,143.3,136.8$, 134.0, 132.9, 130.9, 130.8, 129.4, 125.0, 124.7, 124.6, 118.5, 118.0 [Ar-C], $59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; LC/MS $562.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.7. General procedure for synthesis of compounds 9a-d

To a solution of compound $6(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6$ mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 6 mL ), the appropriate chloroformate ( 0.36 mmol ) was added drop wise under nitrogen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . After reaction completion, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (thrice, 10 mL each time). The combined organic layers were washed with brine two times and the organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane: ethyl acetate $2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to obtain compounds 9a-d.
2.1.7.1. Methyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (9a). Yield: 77\%; m.p.: $134-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36 (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (t, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.0$ (CO), 154.1, 149.8, 142.5, 130.2, 126.9, 125.5, 120.9, $120.5,118.8,114.9,114.0$ [Ar-C], $60.5\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}$ $480.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.7.2. Ethyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imi-dazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (9b). Yield: 76\%; m.p.: $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (t, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right),(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.9$ (CO), 153.8 , $149.6,147.1,142.3,139.1,131.5,130.1,126.7,125.9,124.2,120.9$, $120.4,118.5,114.7,113.9$ [Ar-C], $61.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.35\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 14.46$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 494.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.7.3. Isopropyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (9c). Yield: $82 \%$; m.p.: $141-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (t, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.95-4.89 (m, 1H, CH(CH3 $)_{2}$ ), $3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH} 3), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.8$ (CO), 153.4, 149.5, $142.3,142.3,139.1,130.1,126.2,125.4,124.2,120.9,119.8,114.6$, 113.3 [Ar-C], $69.2\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 55.31\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 22.02\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} /$ MS $508.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.7.4. Phenyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (9d). Yield: $68 \%$; m.p.: $184-186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-$ $7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], $3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.0$ (CO), 152.1, 150.9, 146.7, 143.2, 139.7, 132.8, 132.2, 131.8, 130.6, 129.8, 126.7, 124.9, 124.4, 122.7, 120.4, 118.9, 115.7, 114.8 [Ar-C], $55.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; LC/MS $542.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8. General procedure for synthesis of compounds $10 a-d, 11 a, b$, and $12 a-d$

To a solution of compound $\mathbf{7 a - d}, \mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{b}$, or $\mathbf{9 a - d}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium iodide ( $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}$. .) in methylene chloride ( 3 mL ), $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( 3 mL of 1 M solution in methylene chloride) was added drop wise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h , and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for another 3 h . The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Methylene chloride ( 5 mL ) was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate
( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 2). The combined organic layer extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the organic solvent, the residue was purified by short column chromatography (methylene chloride: methanol $10: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield the title compounds.
2.1.8.1. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)acetamide (10a). Yield: 65\%; m.p.: $192-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.19$ (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar$\mathrm{H}], 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 170.4(\mathrm{CO})$, 157.8, 149.3, 141.6, 129.9, 126.2, 125.1, 120.8, 119.7, 119.6, 115.4 [Ar-C], $22.5\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 450.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.2. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)propionamide (10b). Yield: $62 \%$; m.p.: $162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})[\mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{H}], 2.41\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 174.1$ (CO), 160.3, 157.7, $149.3,147.5,144.9,141.5,139.7,131.8,129.9,126.2,125.1,124.5$, $120.9,119.6,115.6,113.5[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}], 29.7\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.8\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; LC/MS $464.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.3. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)isobutyramide (10c). Yield: 65\%.;m.p.: $166-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], 2.75-2.65 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 177.4$ (CO), 157.7, 149.3, 147.5, 144.8, 141.5, 139.9, 121.7, 130.7, 129.9, 126.3, 125.1, 124.6, 120.5, 119.8, 119.3, $115.7,115.4$ [Ar-C], $38.7\left(\mathrm{COCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 18.6\left(\mathrm{COCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}$ $478.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.4. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)benzamide (10d). Yield: $60 \%$; m.p.: $163-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 10.43$ (brs, 1H), 8.27 (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (d, $J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.32 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 166.1$ (CO), 158.2, 150.7, 142.3, 140.2, 135.3, 132.2, 128.9, 128.4, 126.4, 120.7 [Ar-C]; LC/MS $512.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.5. $N$-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)methanesulfonamide (11a). Yield: $70 \%$; m.p.: $148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 3.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.8,149.3,147.3,144.9,141.6,139.3$, $131.7,129.9,126.9,125.1,120.5,119.9,115.7,115.4$ [Ar-C], 38.2 $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 486.7\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.6. N-(4-(5-(2-Bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imida-zol-2-yl)phenyl)benzenesulfonamide (11b). Yield: 68\%; m.p.: $152-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.90-6.88 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 157.7, 149.3, $147.2,142.1,141.6,139.2,136.1,134.2,132.7,130.0,128.3,127.5$, $126.8,126.3,126.1,125.1,120.5,119.7,115.8,115.4 ;$ LC/MS 548.9 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.7. Methyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (12a). Yield: 72\%; m.p.: $125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48-7.47 (m, 1H), 7.31 (t, $J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})[\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.8$ (CO), 157.7, 154.6, 150.2, 149.3, 147.7, 145.0, 142.2, 140.1, 131.9, 130.1, 129.9, 127.0, $126.3,125.0,123.5,120.5,118.7,115.4$ [Ar-C], $51.27\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} /$ MS $466.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.
2.1.8.8. Ethyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imi-dazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (12b). Yield: 70\%; m.p.: $157-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $\left.6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.8$ (CO), 154.5, 149.3, 147.8, 141.5, 140.2 , 129.9, 126.3, 125.1, 123.7, 120.5, 119.7, 118.3, 115.6, 115.4 [Ar-C], $60.66\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.52\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 480.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ +2 ).
2.1.8.9. Isopropyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (12c). Yield: 62\%; m.p.: $177-17{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.17$ (d, $\left.J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.78$ (d, $J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ [Ar-H], $4.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.13-4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \overline{\mathrm{C}}{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.7$ (CO), 149.5, 149.3, 149.9, 141.5, 129.8, 127.9, 127.0, 120.4, 119.7, 118.3, 115.5, 115.3, 114.6 [Ar-C], $19.5\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $13.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\underline{(H)}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} 494.7\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ +2 ).
2.1.8.10. Phenyl (4-(5-(2-bromopyridin-4-yl)-4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (12d). Yield: 64\%; m.p.: $174-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33-7.29 (m, 2H), $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 158.3$ (CO), 157.7, 152.6, 150.8, 149.3, 141.7, 140.2, 139.7, 133.9, 129.9, 129.1, 126.4, 125.3, 124.3, 121.5, 120.5, 119.7, 118.5, 115.6, 115.4 [Ar-C]; LC/MS $528.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right)$.

### 2.2. Biological assays

### 2.2.1. Anticancer screening

The anticancer screening of the final target compounds (7a-d, 8a,b, 9a-d, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d) against a panel of 60 human cancer cell lines was carried out using the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay at the NCI, Bethesda, Maryland, USA adopting the standard protocol (https://dtp. cancer.gov/discovery_development/nci-60/methodology.htm). The details of the screening protocol are included in the Supplementary File.

### 2.2.2. Cell-based mechanistic assays

2.2.2.1. Cell cultures and treatment. K562 (human leukemia), MCF-7 (human breast cancer) and HT-29 (human colon cancer) cells were maintained in RPMI complete medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin ( 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) and streptomycin (100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The cells were incubated in a humidified incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were seeded in the cell culture dishes and treated with compound 10c at different doses (half, equal, and double of the respective $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values) for 24 h .
2.2.2.2. Flow cytometry analysis of cell cycle induced by compound 10c. The cell cycle was analyzed on K562, MCF-7 and HT-29 cells by treating
with compound 10c with different doses for 24 h . Cells were then harvested, fixed on ice with $70 \%$ of ethyl alcohol, followed by centrifugation, washing and resuspended in PBS ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). RNA was degraded by treating with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNase A $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA was stained with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ propidium iodide (Thermo Fisher; P3566) for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dark condition. The cell cycle was analyzed by flow cytometry using BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The obtained data were analyzed using FlowJo analysis software version 10.7.2
2.2.2.3. Apoptosis analysis by annexin $V$ and propidium iodide staining. Cells were plated in 6 -well plates and allowed to grow until $\sim 70 \%$ of confluency. The cells were then treated with compound 10c with different doses for 24 h . After the incubation period, the cells were harvested, centrifuged, and resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ annexin binding buffer ( 10 mM HEPES, $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} ; \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ). After that, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of annexin V Alexa Fluor ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 488$ (Thermo Fisher; A13201) and 200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ propidium iodide from $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ stock (Thermo Fisher; P3566) was added for 30 min on ice in dark condition. An additional $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ annexin binding buffer was added, the suspension was mixed gently, and apoptosis was analyzed by flow cytometry using BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The obtained data were analyzed using FlowJo analysis software version 10.7.2.
2.2.2.4. Western blot analysis. Compound-treated cells were harvested, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, lysed in lysis buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.1 \%$ Tween20, 2 mM DTT, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), incubated on ice for 10 min and centrifuged at $15,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min . Supernatants were collected as whole cell lysates and a quantity of $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein was loaded in each well, separated by $12 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked with $5 \%$ skimmed milk for 2 h at room temperature and then incubated with the following primary antibodies overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : antiBeclin1 monoclonal antibody (1:1000 dilution, Santacruz, sc-48341) and anti- $\beta$-actin polyclonal antibody (1:2500, AbFrontier, LF-PA0207). After being washed with TBST buffer (Tris-buffered saline supplemented with Tween-20) thrice for 10 min , the membranes were incubated with corresponding horseradish peroxide-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hr at room temperature. After another three washes with TBST, the proteins band bound with the antibodies were visualized with an enhanced electro chemiluminescence (ECL) system.

### 2.2.3. In vitro $B R A F^{V 600 E} / p 38 \alpha$ kinase inhibition assay

Reaction Biology Corp. Kinase HotSpotSM service was used for screening of final compounds (Anastassiadis et al., 2011). Assay protocol was applied using $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of ATP as following:
2.2.3.1. Reagents. Base reaction buffer: 20 mM Hepes ( pH 7.5 ), 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, $0.01 \%$ Brij35, $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, $0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}{ }_{3} \mathrm{VO}_{4}, 2$ mM DTT, $1 \%$ DMSO.

Required cofactors are added individually to each kinase reaction.

### 2.2.3.2. Procedure.

1 Prepare substrate in freshly prepared base reaction buffer.

2 Deliver any required cofactors to the substrate solution above.

3 Deliver indicated kinase into the substrate solution and gently mix.

4 Deliver compounds in 100\% DMSO into the kinase reaction mixture by Acoustic technology (Echo550; nanoliter range), incubate for 20 minutes at room temperature.

5 Deliver ${ }^{33} \mathrm{P}$-ATP into the reaction mixture to initiate the reaction.

6 Incubate kinase reaction for 2 hours at room temperature.

7 Detect kinase activity by P81 filter-binding method.

### 2.3. Docking study

The X-ray crystal structures of BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ oncogenic mutant kinase in complex with GDC0879 (PDB ID: 4MNF) and p38 $\alpha$ kinase in complex with TAK-715 (PDB ID: 3ZSG) were downloaded from the protein data bank (www.rcsb.org) in PDB format. The 2D structure of the target compounds were drawn using ChemDraw software. Molecular Operating Environment (MOE, 2014.0901) software was used for the molecular docking operation of the target compounds $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{b}, 9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d with BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase enzyme domain (PDB ID: 4MNF) and p38 $\alpha$ kinase enzyme domain (PDB ID: 3ZSG). Both kinases were prepared for the molecular docking procedure by applying 3D protonation of both enzyme amino acids and the native ligands (GDC0879 and TAK-715). In addition, water of crystallization was removed from both $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase domains. The active site of both enzymes was isolated. The docking simulation of native ligands (GDC0879 and TAK-715) with the active site of $B R A F{ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase was investigated in order to validate the docking protocol. Both 3D protonation and energy minimization were performed for the target compounds using MOE, 2014.0901 software.

### 2.4. Molecular dynamic simulation

The molecular dynamic (MD) simulation study was carried out for the obtained docked protein complex of the native ligands GDC0879 and TAK-715 with compound 10c, using standard default parameter setting in the MOE 2014 software in order to examine the conformational stability of their docked complexes in the active site of the corresponding kinase BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ (PDB ID: 4MNF) and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase (PDB ID: 3ZSG).

MOE implemented four algorithms, the Nos_e-Poincar_e-Andesen (NPA), the Nos_e-Hoover-Andersen (NHA), Berendsen velocity/position (BER) and Nanoscale Molecular Dynamics (NAMD). In this study, MD calculations were performed by using NPA, the most precise algorithm for long-time simulations. 51 The system optimization was obtained by energy minimization, applying MMFF94x force field, water as a solvent, six margins and delete far existing solvent with distance greater than $4 \AA$. The MD simulation protocol was run for 600 ns at 300 K temperature; the potential energy ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) was recorded at intervals of 0.5 ns . Also, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) values were observed during the whole MD simulation process in order to determine the stability of the ligand-receptor complex during the MD simulation.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Chemistry

The designed compounds 7a-d, 8a,b, 9a-d, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12ad were synthesized according to the reactions adopted in Scheme 1. 3-Methoxy-4-chlorobenzoic acid (1) was esterified using methanol and sulfuric acid to produce methyl ester analogue 2. In presence of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS), the methyl group of 2-bromo-4methylpyridine was activated by stirring for half an hour, followed by dropwise addition of the methyl ester 2 produced the 2-(2-bromopyr-idin-4-yl)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one intermediate 3. Cyclization to the corresponding imidazole intermediate 5 was carried out by oxidation of $\mathbf{3}$ by $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ to produce compound 4, and subsequent

Table 1
Structures of the final target compounds 7a-d, 8a,b, 9a-d, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d.

reaction of 4 with 4-nitrobenzaldehyde in presence of ammonium acetate and acetic acid afforded compound 5 . The key nitro intermediate 5 was reduced to the corresponding amine derivative 6 by $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in ethyl acetate.

The final target compounds $\mathbf{7 a - d}$ and $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were obtained by coupling of compound 6 with different acyl chlorides or sulfonyl chlorides in THF using DIPEA at room temperature. However, the carbamate derivatives 9a-d were obtained by coupling of compound 6 with different chloroformates in THF under nitrogen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Demethylation was implemented by slow addition of boron tribromide at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere in presence of catalytic amount of tetrabutylammonium iodide in dry methylene chloride to get the hydroxyl analogues 10a-d, 11a,b and 12a-d. The target compounds structures are shown in Table 1.

### 3.2. Biological Screening

### 3.2.1. In vitro screening of the anticancer activity

3.2.1.1. Single dose testing against NCI-60 cell line panel. The methoxypossessing derivatives were first synthesized with a degree of structural variation. Compounds $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ (amides), $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{b}$ (sulfonamides), and $\mathbf{9 a}$ d (carbamates) were submitted to National Cancer Institute (NCI) for evaluation of their antiproliferative activity over a panel of 60 human cancer cell lines representing nine human cancer types including leukemia, non-small cell lung (NSCL), colon, central nervous system (CNS), melanoma, ovarian, renal, prostate, and breast cancer cell lines. The compounds were pre-screened in a single dose concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The mean percentages of growth inhibition (\% GI) of the tested compounds are depicted in Table 2, Table S1. The compounds molar refractivity (MR) and LogP values were calculated by ChemDraw software, in attempt to correlate the molecular properties for each compound and its observed activity Table 2.

Generally, the preliminary \% GI results revealed that amide substituted derivatives 7a-d showed the highest activity, followed by the

Table 2
Mean \% growth inhibition (GI) values of compounds 7a-d, 8a,b, 9a-d, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d over the NCI 60 cell line panel at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration.

| Cpd. ID | Mean \% GI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | LogP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7a | 27.34 | 121.09 | 3.9 |
| 7b | 44.61 | 125.83 | 4.55 |
| 7c | 72.15 | 130.75 | 5.12 |
| 7d | 81.83 | 141.22 | 5.8 |
| 8a | 32.95 | 126.51 | 3.21 |
| 8b | 75.42 | 144.99 | 5.4 |
| 9a | 48.41 | 123.05 | 4.48 |
| 9b | 70.59 | 127.85 | 4.82 |
| 9c | 79.59 | 132.54 | 5.14 |
| 9d | 2.56 | 142.13 | 6.15 |
| 10a | 26.49 | 115.65 | 3.63 |
| 10b | 40.39 | 120.4 | 4.29 |
| 10c | 59.39 | 125.32 | 4.86 |
| 10d | 87.90 | 135.78 | 5.53 |
| 11a | 24.94 | 121.08 | 2.95 |
| 11b | 75.70 | 139.55 | 5.13 |
| 12a | 48.96 | 117.61 | 4.22 |
| 12b | 71.31 | 122.41 | 4.56 |
| 12c | 37.80 | 127.1 | 4.88 |
| 12d | 6.57 | 136.69 | 5.88 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mean \% inhibition values are the averages of duplicate assays.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ LogP and MR values were calculated by ChemDraw Professional 16.0.1 software.
carbamate substituted derivatives 9a-d, then the sulfonamide substituted derivatives $\mathbf{8 a , b}$. It is also noticed that the bulkier the substituent on $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and COO , the higher $\% \mathrm{GI}$, except for the phenyl carbamate derivative $\mathbf{9 d}$, which showed a dramatic drop in activity. For example, phenyl substitution on amide (7a) and sulfonamide (8b) elevated the activity by three folds compared to the methyl substituted compounds $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $8 \mathbf{a}$, the isopropyl substitution on both amide ( $7 \mathbf{c}$ ) and carbamate (9c) improved the antiproliferative activity comparable to the methyl and ethyl substituted derivatives $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 b}, \mathbf{9 a}$, and $\mathbf{9 b}$. On the other hand, the molecular descriptors, molar refractivity (MR) and partition coefficient (LogP) are key parameters in determining the molecule's activity. As revealed in Table 2, the methyl substituted compounds 7a, 8a, and 9a, with the lowest MR (121.09, 126.51, and 123.05, respectively) and $\log P(3.9,3.21$, and 4.4 , respectively) values exhibited the lowest activity amongst the tested amide, sulfonamide, and carbamate derivatives, respectively. Elevation of MR and $\operatorname{LogP}$ values in ethyl, isopropyl, and phenyl exhibiting derivatives resulted in improving the anticancer activity. Whereas, compound 9d with the highest LogP value of 6.15 demonstrated a dramatic reduction in the activity, which could be explained in two hypothesises, the first, drug lipophilicity shouldn't exceed certain limit to ensure drug's solubility in the aqueous phase surrounding the targeted cells, the second, substitution with phenyl group on carbamate, which itself has extra space (oxygen atom) compared to the amides and sulfonamides, could results in emigration of the phenyl carbamate moiety out of the employed enzymes pockets, that in turn, reduce the drug affinity, as well as the activity.

Further optimization was conducted, the methoxy derivatives were demethylated to their corresponding hydroxyl derivatives 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d, the compounds were evaluated for their anticancer activity. As demonstrated in Table 2, no significant changes are observed in the activity of the resulted hydroxyl derivatives from their methoxy precursors. Even though, demethylation of the isopropyl derivatives 7c and 9c to 10 c and 12 c reduced the anticancer activity by $12 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively. Interestingly, the activity order of the hydroxyl derivatives went in similar accordance of the methoxy derivatives, where the compounds of higher MR and LogP exhibited the higher activity, except for compound 12d (the phenyl carbamate derivative). Among the employed cell lines panels, leukemia, CNS cancers, renal cancers, and breast cancers are the most sensitive cell lines after treatment with the


Fig. 2. Mean $\%$ GI of the most sensitive cancer types (leukemia, CNS cancers, renal cancers, and breast cancers) upon the treatment with compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{9 b}$, $\mathbf{9 c}, 10 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{~d}$, and 11 b at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration.
tested compounds. Compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 c}, \mathbf{1 0}$ c, 10d, and 11b emerge to be the most potent antiproliferative agents among their synthesized subclasses and their mean \% GI over the sensitive cell lines is illustrated in Fig. 2.
3.2.1.2. Five-dose testing against NCI-60 cell line panel. The most promising compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 c}, \mathbf{1 0 c}, \mathbf{1 0 d}$, and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ were selected according to NCI criteria for further evaluation. The compounds were tested in a five-dose mode over the 60-cell lines panel in order to calculate their $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ (the molar concentration causing 50\% GI). Their potencies were compared with that of sorafenib, a multikinase anticancer drug, as a reference standard. As illustrated in Table 3, All the tested compounds showed high potency over all tested cell lines with sub-micromolar or one-digit micromolar $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values. Obviously, leukemia are the most sensitive cell lines, which are inhibited by all compounds at less than $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration. Moreover, the amide and carbamate grafting derivatives 7c, 7d, 9b, 9c, 10c, and 10d inhibited the growth of leukemia cell line RPMI-8226 at sub-micromolar concentrations below $600 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Potent activity was observed against HCT-15 colon cancer cell line, which showed growth inhibition of $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.78$ and $0.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$ by compounds $\mathbf{7 d}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 c}$, respectively. Among the employed CNS cancer cell lines, both SF-295 and SNB-75 demonstrated the highest sensitivity upon the treatment with compounds 7c, 7d, 9c, 10c, and 10d which showed $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ of $0.27-0.49 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.23-0.81 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.25-$ $0.56 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.20-0.17 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $0.34-0.28 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against the two cell lines, respectively. RXF 393 renal cancer cell line was highly inhibited by compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 c}, \mathbf{1 0 c}, \mathbf{1 0 d}$ with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of $0.35,0.26$, $0.71,0.28,0.19$, and $0.29 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Most of the tested compounds exhibited high potency against HS 578T breast cancer cell line, which was inhibited at $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ of $0.55,0.34,0.31,0.22$, and $0.31 \mu \mathrm{M}$ by compounds 7c, 7d, 9c, 10c, and 10d, respectively. On the other hand, the rest of the evaluated cell lines showed moderate inhibition upon the treatment with the tested compounds. Apparently, compound 10c emerged a broad-spectrum anticancer activity, and exhibited submicromolar activity over the tested cell lines (Fig. 3). In addition, compound 10 c showed incredible activity against MDA-MB-435 melanoma cell line with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ value of 70 nM . In comparison with the reference standard anticancer drug, sorafenib, compound 10c exhibited superior potency than it against almost all the NCI-60 cell lines.

### 3.2.2. In vitro cell-based mechanistic assays

Due to the promising antiproliferative results of compound 10c, further cell-based mechanistic studies were conducted including cell
cycle analysis, apoptosis induction, and autophagy analysis.
3.2.2.1. Cell cycle effects. To get certain insights about the underlying mechanism(s) of anticancer activity triggered by compound 10c, its effects on cell cycle dynamics on K562, MCF-7, and HT29 cancer cell lines was evaluated. Cells were treated with 10c with three different doses (half, equal, and double of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values) for 24 h . After that, cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry (Fig. 4 \& Tables 4, 5, and 6). As shown in Fig. 4, quantitative data after treatment with compound 10c demonstrate that cell cycle was arrested in G2/M phase in K562 cells, G0/G1 phase in MCF-7 cells, and S phase in HT29 cells in a dose-dependent fashion compared with control. For example, the population of K562 cells at G2/M phase was increased from 27.60\% (control) to $29.20 \%(148 \mathrm{nM})$ and $32.95 \%(296 \mathrm{nM})$ after treatment with compound 10c. Similarly, increasing doses of compound 10c was associated with remarkable accumulation of cells at G0/G1 phase in MCF-7 cells, as well as S phase in HT29 cells. Such cell cycle analysis strongly suggests the ability of compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ to induce cell cycle perturbations in cancer cells.
3.2.2.2. Apoptosis induction in cancer cells. To further confirm the proapoptotic activity of compound $10 \mathbf{c}$ in different cancer cells, the Annexin V and PI staining were performed. Flow cytometry analysis of the dual stained cells can distinguish cells into four stages, namely viable (Q4: Annexin V-negative/PI-negative), early apoptosis (Q3: Annexin V-positive/PI-negative), late apoptosis (Q2: Annexin V-positive/PI-positive) and necrotic cells (Q1: Annexin V-negative/PI-positive). Referring to the analyzed data (Fig. $5 \&$ Tables 7, 8, and 9), compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ demonstrate that total, early, and late apoptosis was increased in a dosedependent manner compared with control in K562 cells significantly. For example, while the population of early apoptotic cells was $1.1 \%$ in control, their populations were $23.1 \%$ and $50.1 \%$ after treatment with 148 nM and 296 nM of compound 10c. Early apoptotic cell percentages were significantly increased in the highest dose in HT29 cells whereas no significant apoptosis was happened in MCF-7 cells.
3.2.2.3. Autophagy analysis. The highly promising antiproliferative potency of compound 10c encouraged us to conduct further mechanistic studies such as autophagy analysis. K562, MCF-7, and HT29 cell lines were exploited in this assay based on their availability in our labs and the high potency of compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ against all of them $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.15\right.$, 0.10 , and $0.37 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, Table 3).

Table 3
$\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ of $\mathbf{7 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{7 d} \mathbf{8} \mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 c}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{1 0 d}, \mathbf{1 1 b}$, and sorafenib over NCI-60 cell line panel.

| Cell Lines | 7c | 7d | 8b | 9b | 9c | 10c | 10d | 11b | Sorafenib |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leukemia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 2.99 | 3.15 | 3.14 | 2.76 | 2.22 | 0.31 | 1.32 | 1.91 | 2.00 |
| HL-60(TB) | 1.56 | 1.72 | 2.86 | 2.34 | 1.38 | 0.27 | 1.37 | 2.44 | 1.58 |
| K-562 | 3.07 | 1.67 | 2.60 | 2.76 | 1.88 | 0.15 | 2.12 | 2.27 | 3.16 |
| MOLT-4 | 2.56 | 2.70 | 2.74 | 2.21 | 1.64 | 0.38 | 2.00 | 2.01 | 3.16 |
| RPMI-8226 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 2.49 | 0.79 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 2.35 | 1.58 |
| SR | 2.41 | 1.94 | 2.85 | 2.88 | 1.50 | 0.20 | 1.26 | 2.29 | 3.16 |
| NSCLC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC | 3.45 | 4.07 | 3.11 | 3.07 | 2.96 | 0.43 | 2.95 | 3.00 | 3.16 |
| EKVX | 2.43 | 2.18 | 2.25 | 2.45 | 2.45 | 0.61 | 2.14 | 2.55 | 2.51 |
| HOP-62 | 3.44 | 2.82 | 3.39 | 2.80 | 2.92 | 0.58 | 2.54 | 2.43 | 2.00 |
| HOP-92 | 3.58 | 6.26 | 2.46 | 2.36 | 3.19 | 2.43 | 1.84 | 1.69 | 1.58 |
| NCI-H226 | 2.53 | 2.95 | 2.91 | 2.21 | 2.46 | 0.79 | 2.33 | 2.25 | 2.00 |
| NCI-H23 | 2.88 | 2.82 | 3.06 | 2.00 | 2.61 | 0.39 | 2.04 | 2.18 | 2.00 |
| NCI-H322M | 2.72 | 3.97 | 3.22 | 2.46 | 2.89 | 0.69 | 2.05 | 2.90 | 2.51 |
| NCI-H460 | 3.20 | 3.53 | 2.85 | 2.79 | 2.99 | 0.33 | 2.45 | 2.14 | 2.51 |
| NCI-H522 | 2.80 | 3.17 | 2.93 | 2.73 | 2.48 | 0.29 | 2.01 | 2.48 | 2.00 |
| Colon Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLO 205 | 2.81 | 3.55 | 2.66 | 3.23 | 3.26 | 0.40 | 1.99 | 2.42 | 2.00 |
| HCC-2998 | 2.03 | 1.83 | 2.12 | 2.25 | 2.71 | 0.60 | 2.27 | 1.90 | 3.16 |
| HCT-116 | 2.49 | 3.29 | 2.09 | 2.89 | 2.68 | 0.36 | 2.26 | 2.43 | 1.58 |
| HCT-15 | 1.37 | 0.78 | 1.94 | 1.68 | 1.19 | 0.33 | 2.01 | 1.97 | 2.51 |
| HT29 | 3.07 | 2.69 | 3.02 | 3.45 | 2.37 | 0.37 | 2.85 | 3.17 | 2.00 |
| KM12 | 2.96 | 2.29 | 2.65 | 2.51 | 2.41 | 0.34 | 2.44 | 2.32 | 1.58 |
| SW-620 | 3.52 | 3.77 | 2.83 | 3.19 | 3.32 | 0.37 | 2.37 | 2.84 | 2.51 |
| CNS Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SF-268 | 4.07 | 6.13 | 3.33 | 3.26 | 3.35 | 1.41 | 2.21 | 3.18 | 2.51 |
| SF-295 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 1.85 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 1.90 | 1.58 |
| SF-539 | 1.78 | 1.59 | 2.53 | 1.76 | 1.53 | 0.31 | 1.51 | 2.01 | 1.58 |
| SNB-19 | 3.76 | 3.87 | 4.03 | 2.96 | 3.19 | 0.44 | 1.83 | 3.32 | 3.16 |
| SNB-75 | 0.49 | 0.81 | 1.92 | 2.14 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 1.30 | 3.16 |
| U251 | 2.08 | 2.10 | 2.73 | 2.44 | 1.69 | 0.40 | 1.39 | 2.71 | 2.00 |
| Melanoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOX IMVI | 1.61 | 1.13 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.49 | 0.56 | 1.52 | 1.74 | 1.58 |
| MALME-3M | 2.65 | 2.86 | 1.76 | 1.98 | 2.23 | 0.67 | 1.83 | 2.10 | 2.00 |
| M14 | 3.30 | 2.91 | 2.03 | 2.40 | 3.06 | 0.31 | 1.82 | 2.50 | 2.00 |
| MDA-MB-435 | 3.12 | 4.72 | 2.51 | 2.73 | 3.11 | 0.07 | 1.76 | 2.20 | 1.58 |
| SK-MEL-2 | 2.30 | 2.93 | 2.50 | 2.58 | 2.33 | 0.54 | 2.02 | 2.41 | 2.00 |
| SK-MEL-28 | 2.78 | 3.00 | 2.91 | 2.80 | 2.55 | 0.81 | 1.76 | 2.86 | 2.51 |
| SK-MEL-5 | 1.80 | 1.79 | 1.87 | 1.83 | 1.88 | 0.51 | 1.79 | 2.40 | 1.58 |
| UACC-257 | 4.02 | 5.34 | 3.11 | 2.68 | 3.15 | 2.20 | 2.21 | 2.53 | 2.00 |
| UACC-62 | 2.57 | 2.95 | 2.58 | 1.89 | 1.98 | 0.25 | 1.73 | 1.91 | 1.58 |
| Ovarian Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IGROV1 | 3.55 | 6.96 | 3.84 | 2.69 | 3.54 | 0.47 | 2.62 | 2.88 | 2.51 |
| OVCAR-3 | 3.38 | 4.48 | 3.03 | 2.82 | 2.83 | 0.27 | 2.09 | 2.08 | 3.16 |
| OVCAR-4 | 3.54 | 4.90 | 3.84 | 3.14 | 3.09 | 1.35 | 2.27 | 3.09 | 3.16 |
| OVCAR-5 | 4.70 | 6.09 | 4.17 | 3.56 | 4.43 | 0.53 | 2.92 | 4.34 | 3.16 |
| OVCAR-8 | 3.61 | 4.02 | 3.33 | 2.98 | 3.12 | 0.46 | 2.60 | 2.84 | 3.16 |
| NCI/ADR-RES | 3.03 | 3.55 | 3.44 | 2.35 | 2.85 | ND | 3.00 | 3.04 | 2.51 |
| SK-OV-3 | 3.65 | 3.05 | 3.04 | 3.90 | 3.85 | 1.06 | 2.68 | 2.76 | 2.51 |
| Renal Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 786-0 | 1.30 | 0.70 | 2.98 | 2.16 | 0.97 | 0.38 | 1.08 | 2.51 | 3.16 |
| A498 | 1.84 | 1.74 | 1.25 | 1.94 | 1.20 | 0.18 | 1.66 | 0.36 | 2.51 |
| ACHN | 2.74 | 2.95 | 2.60 | 2.62 | 2.70 | 0.77 | 2.43 | 2.55 | 2.51 |
| CAKI-1 | 2.73 | 2.21 | 1.75 | 2.43 | 2.49 | 0.25 | 1.98 | 2.15 | 3.16 |
| RXF 393 | 0.35 | 0.26 | 2.18 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 1.93 | 3.16 |
| SN12C | 3.31 | ND | 3.03 | 2.76 | 2.94 | 0.50 | 2.68 | 2.91 | 2.51 |
| TK-10 | 4.35 | 6.92 | 3.72 | 3.88 | 3.61 | 2.05 | 2.92 | 3.04 | 3.98 |
| UO-31 | 3.20 | 2.93 | 2.29 | 2.19 | 2.51 | 0.58 | 2.56 | 1.77 | 2.51 |
| Prostate Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PC-3 | 3.01 | 3.25 | 2.39 | 2.52 | 2.62 | 0.35 | 1.90 | 2.12 | 2.00 |
| DU-145 | 3.86 | ND | 3.69 | 3.24 | 3.33 | 0.42 | 2.76 | 3.24 | 3.16 |
| Breast Cancer 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCF7 | 1.67 | 2.40 | 2.64 | 2.13 | 1.59 | 0.10 | 1.29 | 2.30 | 2.51 |
| MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 4.09 | 3.97 | 3.23 | 3.27 | 3.85 | 0.53 | 2.59 | 2.71 | 1.26 |
| HS 578T | 0.55 | 0.34 | 1.71 | 1.68 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 1.50 | 2.51 |
| BT-549 | 2.04 | 3.30 | 2.72 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 0.77 | 1.80 | 2.49 | 3.16 |
| T-47D | 2.65 | 2.44 | 2.74 | 1.96 | 2.08 | 0.55 | 1.73 | 2.44 | 1.58 |
| MDA-MB-468 | 1.89 | 2.87 | 1.98 | 1.67 | 2.03 | 0.22 | 1.91 | 1.85 | 2.00 |

Bold figures indicate sub-micromolar IC $_{50}$ values and stronger potency than sorafenib.


Fig. 3. $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of compound 10c against the employed 60 human cancer cell lines panel.
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Fig. 4. Flow cytometric analysis of compound 10c on cell cycle phase distribution in different cells using propidium iodide stains detected by flow cytometer. Cells were treated with the half, equal, and double concentrations of the respective $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the compounds for 24 h .

It is commonly known that Beclin1 is a vital gene involved in the autophagy process. To explore the effect of the compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$, the compound was treated at equal and double dose of IC 50 values for 24 h ,
and then autophagy marker Beclin1 was detected by western blot assay. The result (Fig. 6) showed that autophagy was induced in all those three cancer cell lines after treating with compound 10c compared with

Table 4
Cell cycle distribution of K562 cell line treated with $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ for 24 h .

| $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ (conc.) | \% of cell cycle phases' distribution in K562 cells |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sub-G1 | G0/G1 | S | G2/M |
| 0 nM | $1.22 \%$ | $56.55 \%$ | $14.65 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 0 \%}$ |
| 74 nM | $1.78 \%$ | $51.45 \%$ | $19.15 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 0 \%}$ |
| 148 nM | $0.61 \%$ | $55.10 \%$ | $15.05 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 2 0 \%}$ |
| 296 nM | $0.63 \%$ | $50.70 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9 5 \%}$ |

Table 5
Cell cycle distribution of MCF-7 cell line treated with 10 c for 24 h .

| $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ (conc.) | \% of cell cycle phases' distribution in MCF-7 cells |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sub-G1 | G0/G1 | S | G2/M |
| 0 nM | $0.69 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 5 \%}$ | $19.40 \%$ | $39.40 \%$ |
| 53.5 nM | $5.89 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 9 0} \%$ | $19.45 \%$ | $22.90 \%$ |
| 107 nM | $5.80 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8 5 \%}$ | $20.30 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ |
| 254 nM | $2.07 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 5 \%}$ | $19.05 \%$ | $24.20 \%$ |

Table 6
Cell cycle distribution of HT29 cell line treated with 10 c for 24 h .

| $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ (conc.) | $\%$ of cell cycle phases' distribution in HT29 cells |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sub-G1 | G0/G1 | S | G2/M |
| 0 nM | $0.81 \%$ | $61.45 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 5 \%}$ | $23.00 \%$ |
| 188 nM | $1.74 \%$ | $62.40 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 5 \%}$ | $16.93 \%$ |
| 376 nM | $5.95 \%$ | $59.55 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9 0} \%$ | $12.63 \%$ |
| 752 nM | $2.15 \%$ | $62.85 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1 0 \%}$ | $19.85 \%$ |

control.

### 3.2.3. In vitro kinase screening

The kinase inhibitory activity of the highest potent agent 10 c was detected in order to explore its mechanism of anticancer activity. Compound 10 c was screened at a single dose concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ over a panel of 21 cancer-associated kinases (Fig. 7). Among the employed kinases, compound 10c exhibited a significant selectivity towards $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases and inhibited the two kinases by $95 \%$ and $86 \%$, respectively. In comparison with the other tested RAF kinases, the mutated BRAF of 10c significantly superior to its activity over the wild-type BRAF and CRAF, which were inhibited in low percent of $3 \%$ and $24 \%$, respectively. It was observed that compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ has moderate activity of $34 \%$ against death associated protein kinase 1 (DAPK1), and mild activity of more than $20 \%$ over DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3 $\beta$ ), and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK4/cyclin D1). On the other hand, the inhibition of the remaining panel kinases did not exceed $10 \%$.

### 3.2.4. In vitro $B R A F^{V 600 E} / p 38 \alpha$ kinase inhibitory activity at $10 \mu M$

According to the preliminary kinase panel results, all the synthesized derivatives were subjected to $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ inhibitory assay at single dose concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in order to identify their dual inhibitory activity (Table 10).
3.2.4.1. Screening of the amide-possessing compounds (7a-d \& 10a-d). The tested compounds showed a wide potency range. Regarding the methoxy derivatives 7a-d, methyl substitution in 7a showed moderate activity ( $46.14 \%$ ) over BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and weak activity ( $18.11 \%$ ) against p38 $\alpha$ kinase. Chain elongation in the ethyl derivative $7 \mathbf{b}$ slightly increase the activity of $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ ( $52.45 \%$ ), while the $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ activity highly improved in more than 4 folds ( $83.56 \%$ ). Interestingly, the activity of both kinases declined by substitution with bulkier groups like isopropyl in $\mathbf{7 c}$ and phenyl in $7 \mathbf{d}$.

Demethylation of 7a-d to their hydroxyl counterparts 10a-d,
generally enhanced the activity of all derivatives over the two kinases. The methyl derivative 10a exhibited the highest inhibition activity of $96.16 \%$ and $93.82 \%$ against $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases, respectively.
3.2.4.2. Screening of the sulfonamide-possessing compounds $(8 a, b \& 11 a$, b). Similarly, the methoxy derivatives were evaluated first, the methyl compound 8a exhibited good activity against $\operatorname{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}(67.21 \%)$ and excellent p38 $\alpha$ activity ( $95.69 \%$ ), meanwhile, substitution with the bulky phenyl moiety ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) dramatically decline the $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ to $9.71 \%$ inhibition and reduced the p $38 \alpha$ inhibition activity to $66.59 \%$. However, the hydroxyl derivatives 11a-d demonstrated significant improvement in BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ activity to $96.31 \%$ and $50.42 \%$ inhibition for the methyl (11a) and phenyl (11b) derivatives, respectively, and slight change in p38 $\alpha$ activity.
3.2.4.3. Screening of the carbamate-possessing compounds ( 9 a-d \& 12ad). Introduction of carbamates at position 2 of the central imidazole ring would have proposed to facilitate the extension into the solvent accessible area. The carbamate functionality participates in additional hydrogen bonding rather than the amides and sulfonamides through the amino acids' carboxyl group and the backbone NH, which in turn improve the molecular stability and pharmacokinetic properties. The carbamate derivatives with methoxy group ( $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ) were first screened for BRAFV ${ }^{600 E}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ activity. The methoxy carbamate compound 9 a exhibited the highest activity over the two kinases (inhibition percentages $=51.79 \%$ and $94.81 \%$, respectively), the inhibitory activity was declined for both kinases by substitution with bulkier moieties in compounds $\mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{9 c}$, and 9 d . Demethylation into the hydroxyl derivatives 12a-d improved the $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ activity two, three, five, four folds in methyl, ethyl, isopropyl, and phenyl derivatives 12a, 12b, 12c, 12d, respectively. However, no noteworthy changes in p38 $\alpha$ activity were in the hydroxyl derivatives except for the phenyl derivative 12 d which improved by $20 \%$ inhibition over its methoxy precursor 9d.

### 3.2.5. Potential BRAF ${ }^{V 600 E}$ and p38 kinase inhibitory activity (IC ${ }_{50}$ ) determination

Among the previously discussed compounds, six compounds (8a, 9a,10a, 10c, 12a, and 12c), which maintained the highest inhibition over the two kinases ( $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ ), were subjected to kinase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ determination. The inhibitory activity of the selected compounds was measured at 5 -dose concentrations ( $1 \mathrm{nM}, 10 \mathrm{nM}, 100 \mathrm{nM}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in order to determine their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values against both $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ (Table 11). The data indicate that tested compounds exhibited BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in low to submicromolar range, except for compound 8a that exhibited less potent BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ inhibition with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value $>5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The overall results exhibited that the tested compounds have higher potency against $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ over $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$, except for compound 12a which is two folds more potent BRAF ${ }^{V 6000}$ inhibitor ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) than $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.48 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compounds 10 a and 12c were emerged to be the highest potent inhibitors with quite equal $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values against the two kinases. Compound 9 a is the most potent p38 $\alpha$ inhibitor among the tested compounds. Compound 10c, the most potent anticancer agent, exhibited distinguishing inhibitory effect on $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.726 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and moderate inhibitory effect on $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.84 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

### 3.3. Docking study

In order to provide a reasonable explanation of the observed kinase activity and its relation with the characteristic binding interactions of the target compounds, a molecular docking study was conducted in the binding site of both $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases using Molecular Operating Environment (MOE, 2014.0901) software. The two X-ray crystallographic structures of $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ in complex with GDC0879 (PDB ID: 4MNF) and p38 kinase in complex with TAK-715 (PDB ID:



Fig. 5. Characterization of cell apoptosis after treated with compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ for 24 h , as determined by Annexin V and PI staining. Quadrants were defined as Q3 $=$ early-stage apoptosis (Annexin V-positive/PI-negative) and Q2 $=$ late-stage apoptosis (Annexin V-positive/PI-positive). Histogram showed percentages of early apoptotic and late apoptotic cells after 24 h .

Table 7
Apoptotic cell distribution of K562 cell line treated with 10 c for 24 h .

| 10c (conc.) | \% of cell cycle phases' distribution in K562 cells |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Live | Early apoptosis | Late apoptosis | Necrosis |
| 0 nM | 97.85\% | 1.10\% | 0.43\% | 0.64\% |
| 74 nM | 96.75\% | 0.31\% | 0.22\% | 2.70\% |
| 148 nM | 73.95\% | 23.10\% | 1.35\% | 1.61\% |
| 296 nM | 46.55\% | 50.10\% | 2.92\% | 0.42\% |

Table 8
Apoptotic cell distribution of MCF-7 cell line treated with 10c for 24 h .

| $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ (conc.) | $\%$ of cell cycle phases' distribution in MCF-7 cells |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Live | Early apoptosis | Late apoptosis | Necrosis |
| 0 nM | $95.65 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $1.39 \%$ |
| 53.5 nM | $96.15 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ | $0.53 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ |
| 107 nM | $96.95 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ | $1.91 \%$ |
| 254 nM | $96.40 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ |

3ZSG) were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Azevedo et al., 2012, Haling et al., 2014).

At the beginning, the protocol was validated by re-docking of the co-

Table 9
Apoptotic cell distribution of HT29 cell line treated with $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ for 24 h .

| $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ (conc.) | $\%$ of cell cycle phases' distribution in HT29 cells |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Live | Early apoptosis | Late apoptosis | Necrosis |
| 0 nM | $97.85 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 \%}$ | $0.04 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 8} \mathrm{nM}$ | $97.55 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 \%}$ | $0.02 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ |
| 376 nM | $97.20 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 \%}$ | $0.03 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ |
| 752 nM | $77.60 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 0 \%}$ | $1.06 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ |

crystalized ligands, GDC0879 and TAK-715, in the binding sites of $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$, respectively. This revealed the binding pattern of the two kinases (BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ ) with their corresponding cocrystalized ligands with energy scores of -7.59 and $-9.21 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, and with an RMSD of 0.162 and $0.557 \AA$, respectively, between the co-crystalized native ligand and the docked poses. The resultant docking poses imitated all the essential molecular interactions possessed by the native ligand in the binding active site of $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and p38 (Table S2, Supplementary File).

As illustrated, BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ native ligand (GDC0879) anchored in the adenine pocket via H -bond between pyridine nitrogen and Cys532 in the hinge binding area, the hydrophobic pocket was occupied by the oxime moiety on the (Z)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene, which H-bonded with Glu501


Fig. 6. Autophagic activity in different cells after treated with compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ for 24 h , as determined by western blot using autophagic markers (Beclin1). Bar graphs showed the fold change values of the treated groups compared with control. * $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ and $\# \mathrm{p}<0.01$.


Fig. 7. Inhibition percentages of compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration over a panel of 21 protein kinases.
residue, while the central pyrazole ring was embedded in the ribose pocket through arene-H interaction with Val471, finally, the ligand bound in water accessible area through a H-bond formation with Ser465 by the lateral OH of ethanol moiety (Fig. 8a). However, TAK-715 showed a great affinity to p38 $\alpha$ active site. Both pyridine nitrogen and 2- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ pyridine of TAK-715 formed a H -bond with Met109 in the adenine hinge region. The central thiazole ring was deeply buried in the sugar pocket through arene-H interaction with Val38 and Arene-Arene interaction with Phe169 residue, in addition, the thiazole's nitrogen atom formed a h-bond with Lys53 (Fig. 8b).

The enzymatic activity ( $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ ) of the target compounds depends on their ability to properly bind into the active site of both kinases and to establish strong enough interactions with the key amino acids (ligand affinity) in order to compete with the ATP for the binding site. Accordingly, the active compounds in this study should attain the same binding mode observed for the native ligands GDC0879 and TAK-715 (Fig. 8). Analysis of the docking results revealed that the target compounds $\mathbf{7 - 1 2}$ showed quite similar binding interactions in both kinases with predicted docking energy scores in the binding site of both $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase ranging from -7.06 to $-8.63 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table 10
Enzyme \% inhibition activity of the final target compounds 7a-d, 8a,b, 9a-d, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ over BRAF ${ }^{\text {V600E }}$ and p38 $\alpha$ kinase ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Cpd. | kinase \% inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BRAF ${ }^{\text {V600E }} \quad \mathrm{p} 38 \alpha /$ <br> MAPK14 |  | Cpd. | kinase \% inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BRAF $^{\text {V600E }} \quad \mathrm{p} 38 \alpha /$ <br> MAPK14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7a | $\begin{aligned} & 46.14 \% \pm \\ & 0.78 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.11 \% \pm \\ & 1.25 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10a | $\begin{aligned} & 96.15 \% \pm \\ & 0.98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.82 \% \pm \\ & 2.07 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 7b | $\begin{aligned} & 52.45 \% \pm \\ & 2.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.56 \% \pm \\ & 0.07 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10b | $\begin{aligned} & 92.01 \% \pm \\ & 2.32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.88 \% \pm \\ & 3.01 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 7c | $\begin{aligned} & 39.76 \% \pm \\ & 1.98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.98 \% \pm \\ & 2.08 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10c | $\begin{aligned} & 95.4 \% \pm \\ & 3.59 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.24 \% \pm \\ & 0.78 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 7d | $\begin{aligned} & 13.73 \% \pm \\ & 1.02 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.76 \% \pm \\ & 3.56 \% \end{aligned}$ | 10d | $\begin{aligned} & 84.99 \% \pm \\ & 2.95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.23 \% \pm \\ & 1.63 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 8a | $\begin{aligned} & 67.21 \% \pm \\ & 2.35 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.69 \% \pm \\ & 1.56 \% \end{aligned}$ | 11a | $\begin{aligned} & 96.31 \% \pm \\ & 1.08 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.01 \% \pm \\ & 0.65 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 8b | $\begin{aligned} & 09.71 \% \pm \\ & 1.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.59 \% \pm \\ & 0.45 \% \end{aligned}$ | 11b | $\begin{aligned} & 50.42 \% \pm \\ & 2.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.62 \% \pm \\ & 2.18 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 9a | $\begin{aligned} & 51.79 \% \pm \\ & 2.52 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.81 \% \pm \\ & 2.47 \% \end{aligned}$ | 12a | $\begin{aligned} & 94.87 \% \pm \\ & 2.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.73 \% \pm \\ & 1.13 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 9b | $\begin{aligned} & 34.83 \% \pm \\ & 4.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.21 \% \pm \\ & 2.73 \% \end{aligned}$ | 12b | $\begin{aligned} & 93.58 \% \pm \\ & 1.63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.13 \% \pm \\ & 2.03 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 9c | $\begin{aligned} & 18.62 \% \pm \\ & 0.26 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.29 \% \pm \\ & 3.20 \% \end{aligned}$ | 12c | $\begin{aligned} & 96.50 \% \pm \\ & 2.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.79 \% \pm \\ & 3.16 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 9d | $\begin{aligned} & 17.57 \% \pm \\ & 0.28 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.75 \% \pm \\ & 4.36 \% \end{aligned}$ | 12d | $\begin{aligned} & 86.21 \% \pm \\ & 2.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.36 \% \pm \\ & 0.68 \% \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The results are expressed as means of duplicate assays $\pm$ S.D.

Table 11
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the final target compounds 8a, 9a,10a, 10c, 12a, and 12c over BRAF ${ }^{\text {V600E }}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases.

| Cpd. | $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V600E}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 a | $>5$ | 0.618 |
| 9 a | ND | 0.241 |
| 10 a | 0.530 | 0.525 |
| 10 c | 1.840 | 0.726 |
| 12 a | 1.620 | 3.48 |
| 12 c | 0.542 | 0.538 |
| GW5074 | 0.0007 | ND |
| SB202190 | ND | 0.002 |

ND: not determined.
and -6.27 to $-9.25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Table S2). The target molecules exhibited quite typical binding interactions of both GDC0879 and TAK-715. As depicted in Table S2, the central imidazole ring is buried into the ribose pocket of kinase active site via arene-H interaction with Val471 and Val38 of BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase, respectively. Moreover, the hinge binder of the two kinases was occupied by the pyridine moiety, which formed H-bond with Cys532 and Met109 of BRAF ${ }^{\text {V600E }}$ and p38 $\alpha$ kinase, respectively exemplified by the binding modes of compounds 10a, 10c, 11a, 11b, and 12d. In both kinases, the bromine atom showed a unique halogen interaction, where the bromine acts as a Lewis acid and interacts with the electron donor moiety of Glu501 or Gln530 in BRAF kinase and Leu104 and Ala51 in p38 $\alpha$. Compounds 10a and 12c, with the highest potency against the two kinases, exhibited excellent binding affinity to the ATP active sites of both kinases. In p38 $\alpha$ active site, the central imidazole of the 10a and 12c was buried deeply in the ribose pockets through arene-H interaction with Val38 and $\pi-\pi$ stacking with Phe169 residues, in addition, their lateral substituted aniline at imidazole's C2 was extended deeply in the phosphate area forming $\pi-\pi$ interaction with Tyr35, however, the 2 -bromo pyridine moiety of 10a bound in the hinge binding area was via H -bonding with Met109, the same moiety of $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ was oriented to the hydrophobic back pocket interacting with Thr106 (Fig. 9).

On the other hand, compounds 10 a and 12 c anchored in $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 E}$ adenine pocket via H-bonding with Cys532 backbone, while the central imidazole ring was directed to the ribose pocket even there is no interaction was detected with Val471 (Fig. 10).

Interestingly, compound 10c, which demonstrated the highest nanomolar antipreoperative activity even though its kinase activity was moderate ( $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.84 \mu \mathrm{M} \& \mathrm{p} 38 \alpha \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.726 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), was perfectly fitted in the activity site of the two kinases. As shown in Fig. 11, the central imidazole embedded in the sugar pocket by an arene- H interaction with Val471 and Val38 in the active site of BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and p38 $\alpha$, respectively. The lateral 2-bromopyridine moiety was directed to the hydrophobic pocket of $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase where the bromine formed a halogen bond with Glu50, however, this moiety anchored in the hinge binder of p38 $\alpha$ via H-bonding with Met109. The terminal 3-hydroxy phenyl moiety represented the hinge binder fragment in BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and a H -bond was formed between the OH and Cys532, while this moiety was oriented to the hydrophobic back pocket of p38 $\alpha$ kinase.

### 3.4. Molecular dynamic simulation

The conducted docking study revealed the high fitting affinity of compound 10c, the most potent inhibitor, in the active sites of $B R A F{ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases with energy score of -7.75 and $-8.69 \mathrm{Kcal} /$ mole, respectively, while the native ligands of the two kinases scored -7.59 and $-9.21 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. The characteristic binding of compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ in the two kinases active sites exhibited that $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ anchored in the hinge binder via H-bonding between the OH group of the 3-hydroxy phenyl moiety and Cys532 of the BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$, and the nitrogen atom of 2-bromopyridine moiety and Met109 residue of the p38 $\alpha$ kinase, while, the central imidazole of 10 c was deeply buried in the ribose pocket of the two kinases through arene-H interactions with Val471 and Val38 of BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$, respectively.

Accordingly, molecular dynamic (MD) simulation analysis was conducted for compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ in attempt to study the conformational stability of its docked inhibitor-protein complex and to attain dependable drug-receptor binding affinities. MD simulation study was performed for 600 ns for inhibitor 10c in comparison to the native ligands GDC0879 and TAK-715 in the active sites of BRAF ${ }^{\text {V600E }}$ (PDB ID: 4MNF) and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinase (PDB ID: 3ZSG), respectively. The MD simulations protocol was run at 300 K temperature, and the atomic potential energy was recorded in a time interval of 0.5 ns .

To explore the dynamic stability of both the inhibitor-enzyme complex (4MNF-10c and 3ZSG-10c) and ligand-enzyme complex (4MNFGDC0879 and 3ZSG-TAK-715), the time-dependent atomic potential energy of each complex was calculated during MD trajectories. As illustrated in igs. 12 and 13, the ligand-enzyme complex (4MNFGDC0879 and 3ZSG-TAK-715) attained the equilibrium around 300 ns and 200 ns, respectively. However, the inhibitor-enzyme complexes (4MNF-10c and 3ZSG-10c) achieved equilibrium around 250 ns and 200 ns. The obtained results indicate that the native ligand and the tested inhibitor 10 c have retained their binding affinity and kept firmly bound to their respective kinase binding site.

In addition, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) values were observed during the simulation process to predict the stability of the inhibitor-enzyme complex. The recorded RMSD values were also represented as a function of time in Fig. 14. The inhibitor-enzyme complexes exhibited interaction stability during the first 200 ns and 250 ns of the dynamic simulations in the active site of BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases, respectively.

## 4. Conclusion

This study yielded a series of 4-(imidazol-5-yl)pyridine-based derivatives was designed and synthesized based on a group of structural modifications of previously reported dual $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ inhibitors. The applied structural optimization was aiming at improving the anticancer activity of the new derivatives by enhancing their cell membrane permeability, in addition, boost the molecular affinity in the active site of both $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases via introducing additional hydrophilic moieties in either the solvent accessible area of $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase


Fig. 8. 3D molecular interaction diagram of: a) GDC0879 in BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ active site (PDB ID: 4MNF), b) TAK-715 in p38 $\alpha$ kinase active site in (PDB ID: 3ZSG).
or the phosphate binding reign of p38 $\alpha$ kinase. The target compounds showed diversity of amides, sulfonamides, and carbamates substituents, which in turn provide a wide range on biological activities. The synthesized compounds were preliminary screened for their anticancer activity at single dose concentration $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ over a panel of 60 -human cancer cell lines. Compounds 7c, 7d, 8b, 9b, 9c, 10c, 10d, and 11b exhibited the highest cytotoxic activity over the employed 60-cell lines
generally, leukemia, CNS cancers, renal cancers, and breast cancers with mean $\% \mathrm{GI}=62 \% \sim 120 \%$. The active derivatives were selected by NCI for further 5 -dose assay to determine their $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values. The eight derivatives exhibited a promising activity of sub-micromolar or one-digit micromolar $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values against the tested cell lines. Apparently, compound 10c occurred to be the most potent anticancer agent, which exhibited sub-micro molar activity over the tested 60-cancer cell lines.


Fig. 9. 3D representation of compound 10a (a) and 12c (b) molecular interactions in the p38 kinase.
a)

b)


Fig. 10. 3D representation of compound 10a (a) and 12c (b) molecular interactions in the $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase.

Moreover, compound 10c showed incredible activity against melanoma (MDA-MB-435) cell line with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ value of 70 nM . It is extremely more potent than sorafenib against the NCI-60 cell line panel. Additional cellbased mechanistic studies including cell cycle analysis, apoptosis induction, and autophagy analysis were conducted to compound 10 c to explain its possible anticancer mechanism in K562, MCF-7 and HT29 cancer cell lines. Compound 10c induced cell cycle arrest at G2/M phase in K562 cells, G0-G1 phase in MCF-7 cells and S phase in HT29. Compound 10 c showed a dose-dependent increase in the total, early, and late apoptosis in K562 cells, early apoptotic populations in HT29 cells, no significant apoptosis in MCF-7 cells. The autophagy analysis of revealed that compound 10c induced autophagy in the three cancer cell lines. Kinase profiling of $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ showed its inhibitory effects and selectivity towards B-RAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ kinases. As a result, the target compounds 7a-d, 8a,b, 9a-d, 10a-d, 11a,b, and 12a-d were evaluated for their inhibitory activity against the two kinases. Compounds 10a and 12c showed the highest potency against the two kinases. Moreover,
compound 10c, exhibited inhibitory effect on $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.726 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and moderate inhibitory effect on $\mathrm{BRAF}^{\mathrm{V600E}}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.84 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Molecular modeling studies including molecular docking for the target compounds and molecular dynamic simulations for compound 10c were conducted in attempt to emphasis the relation between the mentioned kinase inhibitory activity for each derivative and their molecular interaction and stability in the active site of BRAF V600E and p38 $\alpha$.

Compound 10c demonstrated high affinity in the kinases pockets. Compound 10c that possesses terminal isopropyl group, amide linker, and hydroxyphenyl moiety is considered as a promising candidate for developing anticancer agents that could be subjected for further optimization to improve its BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{p} 38 \alpha$ inhibitory effects.
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Fig. 11. 3D representation of compound 10 c molecular interactions in the active site of $B R A F^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase (a) and p38 $\alpha$ kinase (b).


Fig. 12. Potential energy evaluation of complex of compound 10 c and native ligand GDC0879 with BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase (PDB ID: 4 MNF ) binding site as function of time (ns).


Fig. 13. Potential energy evaluation of complex of compound $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ and native ligand TAK-715 with p38 kinase (PDB ID: 3ZSG) binding site as function of time (ns).


Fig. 14. The RMSD curve from the molecular dynamics simulation of compound 10 c in complex with BRAF ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}$ kinase (PDB ID: 4 MNF ) and p38 $\alpha$ kinase (PDB ID: 3ZSG). The x-axis represents the simulation time (ns), while the y-axis represents the RMSD value (nm).


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i) MeOH, conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. ; ii) LiHMDS, THF, $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$.; iii) $\left.\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h} . ; \mathrm{iv}\right) \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 4 h.; v) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \bullet 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, EtOAc, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$., vi) DIPEA, THF, rt, 12 h ; vii) THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; viii) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{TBAI},-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$.
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