





Strategic Integrated Research in Timber



# Guessing the strength of UK timber

# Grading explained (a bit)

Dan Ridley-Ellis















**EUROPE & SCOTLAND** European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future



11/11/2013











Wood

🔺 🧟 🏛 SIRT

- Strength
- Stiffness
- Density
- Shrinkage and swelling
- Distortion
- Durability
- Hardness, toughness, knots, appearance





**EUROPE & SCOTLAND** 



The Stadthaus, Murray Grove, London

....

ARCHITECT: WAUGH THISTLETON ARCHITECTS BUILDER/MAIN CONTRACTOR: TELFORD HOMES PLC STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS: TECHNIKER LTD JOINERY: KLH



TRA

F





### Structural engineering design

- About buildings
  - Staying safe ("ultimate limit state")
  - Staying fit for use ("serviceability limit state")
- Dealing with uncertainty
  - Of material
  - Of the actions on a structure
  - Of analysis and construction
- Irrespective of the material









UNIVERSITY

#### **Dealing with uncertainty**



11/11/2013 www.napier.ac.uk/fpri





# **Constituents of wood**

- Cellulose
  - A long polysaccharide molecule  $(C_6H_{10}O_5)_n$
  - Analogous to reinforcing strand (main role tension)
- Lignin
  - A number of complex 3D biopolymers
  - Analogous to cement (main role compression)
- Hemicelluloses
  - Mixture of different sugar monomers
  - Links the cellulose and the lignin (giving flexibility)
- Extractives and water





– Cellulose microfibrils

#### Intercellular

#### Cell lumen

# Middle lamella & primary cell wall

Middle layer of \_\_\_\_\_ secondary wall

Middle lamella

30 µm

Cellulose .

. Inner layer of secondary wall

Outer layer of secondary wall

- Primary wall

🗢 Lignin

Hemicellulose

Secondary \_ cell wall





# **Mechanical properties**

- Amount of cell wall material
   Wood density
- How that cell wall material is arranged – Grain, earlywood, latewood
- How that cell wall material is made up
  - Cellulose : lignin
  - Microfibril angle









UNIVERSITY











**Research Report** 

# Wood properties and uses of Sitka spruce in Britain

#### What we know about the UK's Sitka spruce resource.

http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FCRP015.pdf/\$file/FCRP015.pdf





EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future







#### A bit of an image problem





EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future







#### "Rate of growth"

Grew in ~11 years



Grew in ~15 years





EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future







#### "Rate of growth"





EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future









#### **Birkley Wood Study**

(83-year old Sitka spruce trees)

46-60 years 31-45 years 16-30 years 0-15 years

1



![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Juvenile core

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 2.15 Radial profile of Sitka spruce wood density. The green lines show profiles for five individual trees sampled at Baronscourt in Northern Ireland, while the black line represents a model fitted to these data.

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 2.20 Example of the radial variation in modulus of elasticity for two specimens of Sitka spruce wood. Modulus of elasticity was estimated from data on density and microfibril angle obtained from SilviScan-3.

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Factors affecting softwood quality

- Position within the tree
  - Radially & vertically
- Silviculture

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Spacing, thinning, rotation length etc
- Site
  - Exposure, temperature, rainfall, soil type etc
- Genetics
  - Species, variety and individual

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Density and bending strength

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

Raw data from SIRT benchmarking validation study

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Density and bending stiffness**

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

Raw data from SIRT benchmarking validation study

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Bending strength and stiffness**

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

Raw data from SIRT benchmarking validation study

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY

#### **Dealing with uncertainty**

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

11/11/2013 www.napier.ac.uk/fpri

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Characteristic values**

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### The core standards

**EN 14081**, Timber structures - Strength graded structural timber with rectangular cross section Part 1: General requirements

Part 2: Machine grading, additional requirements for initial type testing

Part 3: Machine grading; additional requirements for factory production control

Part 4: Machine grading; grading machine settings for machine controlled systems

**BS 4978**, Visual strength grading of softwood. Specification **BS 5756**, Visual strength grading of hardwood. Specification

**EN 336**, Structural timber - Sizes, permitted deviations

**EN 338**, Structural timber - Strength classes

EN 1912, Structural timber - Strength classes - Assignment of visual grades and species

**EN 408**, Timber structures - Structural timber and glued laminated timber - Determination of some physical and mechanical properties

EN 384, Structural timber - Determination of characteristic values of mechanical properties and density

**EN 14358**, Timber structures - Calculation of characteristic 5-percentile values and acceptance criteria for a sample + CEN TC124 TG1 additional requirements

![](_page_28_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Grade-determining properties

- Strength
  - Usually major axis bending strength ULS
- Stiffness
  - Usually major axis bending stiffness
- Density
  - Also an indirect measure of strength in some elements of timber design
- All other properties are derived from these 3 properties

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future

![](_page_29_Picture_12.jpeg)

SLS

(sometimes ULS)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Critical property**

- Grades are defined by characteristic
  - Strength (lower 5<sup>th</sup> percentile)
  - Stiffness (mean)
  - Density (lower 5<sup>th</sup> percentile)
- The limits are general across species
  - Softwoods (C classes...major axis bending)
  - Hardwoods (D classes...major axis bending)
  - Density (lower 5<sup>th</sup> percentile)
- Other strength class systems exist

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### EN 338

		Softwood species												
		C14	C16	C18	C20	C22	C24	C27	C30	C35	C40	C45	C50	
Strength properties (in N/mm <sup>2</sup> )														
Bending	f <sub>m,k</sub>	14	16	18	20	22	24	27	30	35	40	45	50	
Tension parallel	ft.o.x	8	10	11	12	13	14	16	18	21	24	27	30	
Tension perpendicular	f <sub>t,90,k</sub>	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	
Compression parallel	fc,0,k	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	25	26	27	29	
Compression perpendicular	f <sub>c,90,k</sub>	2,0	2,2	2,2	2,3	2,4	2,5	2,6	2,7	2,8	2,9	3,1	3,2	
Shear	$f_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{k}}$	3,0	3,2	3,4			4,0	4,0	4,0	4,0	4,0	4,0	4,0	
Stiffness properties (in kN/mm <sup>2</sup> )														
Mean modulus	E <sub>0,mean</sub>	7	8	9	9,5	10	-11	11,5	12	13	14	15	16	
of elasticity parallel														
5 % modulus of	E <sub>0,05</sub>	4,7	5,4	6,0	6,4	6,7	7,4	7,7		8,7	9,4	10,0	10,7	
elasticity parallel														
Mean modulus	E <sub>90,mean</sub>	0,23	0,27	0,30	0,32		0,37			0,43	0,47	0,50	0,53	
of elasticity perpendicular														
Mean shear modulus	G <sub>mean</sub>	0,44	0,5	0,56				0,72		0,81		0,94	1,00	
Density (in kg/m <sup>3</sup> )														
Density	ρĸ	290	310	320	330	340	350	370	380	400	420	440	460	
Mean density	ρmean	350	370	380	390	410	420	450	460	480	500	520	550	

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Critical property**

- To comply with the grade, characteristic values must be met (at least)
- For a species and grade combination usually one property is limiting
  - Strength
  - Stiffness
  - Density
- So strength grading isn't always about predicting strength

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Bending

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

# How do we predict strength?

- Can only be measured destructively
- But strength is correlated with:
  - Stiffness
  - Density
  - Knots
  - Grain e.g. ring width
    - Rate of tree growth & radial position
  - Species
  - Origin

![](_page_35_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

# How do we predict stiffness?

- Stiffness can be measured non-destructively
  - Mechanical bending (within elastic range)
  - Dynamic stiffness (vibration or time of flight)
- It is also correlated with
  - Density
  - Knots
  - Grain e.g. ring width
    - Rate of tree growth & radial position
  - Species
  - Origin

![](_page_36_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

# How do we predict density?

- Density can be measured non-destructively
  - By weighing and measuring dimensions
  - Using x-rays (and similar methods)
  - Pin indent
  - But is confounded by moisture content
- It is also correlated with
  - Stiffness
  - Grain e.g. ring width
    - Rate of tree growth & radial position
  - Species
  - Origin

![](_page_37_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Grading methods for timber

- Visual strength grading

   (not the same as appearance grading)
- Machine strength grading

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Visual strength grading

- Overarching requirements in EN 14081-1
- But done according to National Standards
  - BS 4978:2007 (softwoods)
  - BS 5756:2007 (hardwoods)
  - Also German, Canadian, French, Italian, Dutch, Nordic, Spanish...
- Assignments to classes in EN 1912
- According to testing to EN 384
- Can also be assignments elsewhere

![](_page_39_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Machine strength grading

- Machine grading
  - Relates an 'indicating parameter' to the critical grade-determining parameter(s)
  - Better accuracy than visual grading...
  - ...due to the parameters being measured
  - ...and the automation
  - ...so assignment to grade is less conservative
  - Fast but expensive equipment
  - Cannot really be verified afterwards

![](_page_40_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

# What? Cannot be verified?

• Timber is stamped with the grade mark

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

a) Producer identification
 b) Letter 'M'
 c) Identification number of notified body
 d) Strength class or grade and grading
 e) If appropriate
 f) Code number to identify documentation

- But it is not possible to tell if an individual piece has been correctly assigned to a grade
- Because a piece can correctly belong to any grade

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### How can that make sense?

- Timber grading does not operate on a piece by piece basis
- Pieces are individually assigned to grades
- ...but it is the population of timber in that grade that matters
- Packages of timber should meet the characteristic values

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

# So does this make timber bad?

- No
- In fact this applies for all materials
- There is always some uncertainty

   the variability is accounted for in design
  - by characteristic values and  $\gamma_{\rm m}$

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

# So how do we machine grade?

- Now many types of grading machines
  - Bending stiffness
    - Bending about the minor axis
  - Dynamic (acoustic/vibration)
    - Essentially a measure of stiffness
    - May or may not include density
  - X-rays
    - A combination of knots and density
    - Perhaps with optical camera
  - Mixtures of the above

![](_page_44_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Bending graders**

- Measure mechanical stiffness
  - Through application of defined load
  - or defined deflection
  - Minor axis
  - Accounting for pre-existing bow
- Relatively slow (with dynamic errors)
- Limited by cross-section
- Cannot measure the whole piece

![](_page_45_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Bending graders**

#### Cook-Bolinder

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Computermatic

![](_page_46_Figure_6.jpeg)

Timgrader

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)

Figures from BRE Digest 476 "Guide to machine strength grading of timber"

![](_page_46_Picture_10.jpeg)

EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future

![](_page_46_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Acoustic graders

- Measure acoustic velocity
  - Through axial or transverse vibration
  - Or time of flight (including ultrasonic)
  - May or may not include density (MoE<sub>dyn</sub> =  $\rho v^2$ )
- Fast
- Can be hand-held
- Measure the whole piece
- ...but all at once

![](_page_47_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Acoustic graders

#### VISCAN (MICROTEC)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

Precigrader (Dynalyse AB)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### MTG (Brookhuis)

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Triomatic (CBS-CBT)

![](_page_48_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

## X-ray graders

- Measure
  - Clear wood and average density
  - Knot size and location
- Very fast (and permit board splitting)
- ...but big and expensive
- Measure the whole piece
- ...and all parts of it individually
- But not great at predicting stiffness

![](_page_49_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### X-ray graders

#### GOLDENEYE 702 (MiCROTEC)

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Combination graders**

#### GOLDENEYE 706 (MiCROTEC)

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

## But that's not everything yet

- "Visual" override
  - Distortion (might be by machine)
  - Fissures (cannot be detected by machine)
  - Wane
  - Soft rot and insect damage
  - Knots and slope of grain on any portion that cannot be machine graded (i.e. the ends of the timber for bending type machines)
  - Anything else that causes concern

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### What about British timber?

British spruce: Sitka spruce and Norway spruce combined from UK and Ireland

#### SIRT benchmarking validation, 957 pieces

British sp	oruce		C14	C16	C18	C20	C22
Strength	20.9	N/mm <sup>2</sup>	14	16	18	20	22
Stiffness	8.2	kN/mm <sup>2</sup>	7	8	9	9.5	10
Density	338	kg/m³	290	310	320	330	340

![](_page_59_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Other species

SIRT benc	hmarking validation,	957 pieces									
British sp	ruce	C14	C16	C18	C20	C22	C24	C27	C30	C35	C40
Strength	20.9 N/mm <sup>2</sup>	14	16	18	20	22	24	27	30	35	40
Stiffness	8.2 kN/mm <sup>2</sup>	7	8	9	9.5	10	11	11.5	12	13	14
Density	338 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	290	310	320	330	340	350	370	380	400	420
James Rar	nsay PhD, 166 piece	es									
Scottish la	arch	C14	C16	C18	C20	C22	C24	C27	C30	C35	C40
Strength	23.8 N/mm <sup>2</sup>	14	16	18	20	22	24	27	30	35	40
Stiffness	9.4 kN/mm <sup>2</sup>	7	8	9	9.5	10	11	11.5	12	13	14
Density	407 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	290	310	320	330	340	350	370	380	400	420
Tom Drewe	ett PhD, 188 pieces										
Scottish&	Welsh Douglas-fir	C14	C16	C18	C20	C22	C24	C27	C30	C35	C40
Strength	18.8 N/mm <sup>2</sup>	14	16	18	20	22	24	27	30	35	40
Stiffness	9.2 kN/mm <sup>2</sup>	7	8	9	9.5	10	11	11.5	12	13	14
Density	398 kg/m³	290	310	320	330	340	350	370	380	400	420

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Variation in the resource

SIRT benchmarking validation

![](_page_61_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Variation in the resource

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Sources of the variation

Source	Density	Strength	Stiffness
Between sites	23%	18%	26%
Between trees on a site	51%	25%	36%
Between logs in a tree	2%	5%	2%
Within log	25%	52%	35%

Moore, J. R., Lyon, A. J., Searles, G. J., Lehneke, S. A., Ridley-Ellis, D. J. Within- and between-stand variation in selected properties of Sitka spruce sawn timber in the United Kingdom: implications for segregation and grade recovery. Annals of Forest Science (in press)

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Summary

- Grading is not proof testing
- Rate of growth ≠ quality for construction (at least not as simply as usually thought)
- British timber is fine for construction
- C16 is a structural grade!
- There are higher grades too
- And the resource can be improved
- Scope for more efficient use of resource

![](_page_64_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_12.jpeg)