



The Verbal and the Visual in Language Learning and Teaching: Insights from the 'Selfie Project'

Journal:	<i>The Language Learning Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	RLLJ-2017-0062.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Paper
Keywords:	language teaching, selfie, visual method, visual aid

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

The verbal and the visual in language learning and teaching: Insights from the 'Selfie Project'

Abstract:

That 'a picture can stimulate a thousand words' aptly summarizes the role of the visual in the field of language learning and teaching. The power of the image to generate language output has been widely acknowledged in the field of second/foreign language acquisition. Posters, diagrams, symbols and pictures are often found in language classrooms; illustrations and photos cohabit with the target language in textbooks. The relationship, however, between the lingual and the visual tends to be one of asymmetry. The visual tends to be held in lower regard and thought of as 'dispensable embellishment' (Millard & Marsh 2001: 55) especially when the learner has acquired a certain level of linguistic competence. Instead of focusing on the 'thousand words', this initial exploration into examining the verbal-visual interplay uses the 'picture', in the form of the selfie, as heuristic device. It draws insights from visual research approaches and digital communication studies to analyse the relationship between the verbal and the visual. Results point to a counter-reading of the selfie. It is suggested that using this digital self-portrait of the 21st century as talking point in an English fluency exercise has brought about unexpected findings—the strengthening of personal relations in the classroom and self-reflexivity amongst the students—aspects that are not often associated with the traditional use of visual 'aids'. It is proposed that current understanding of the 'lingual' can be enriched by bringing the 'visual' back into the frame.

Key words: language teaching selfie visual methods visual aid

Introduction

The language classroom is a universe of visual data. Maps, posters, phonemic charts, diagrams, symbols and different pictures usually adorn the language learning classroom. Photos and illustrations have always found their way in textbooks alongside the target language.

1
2
3
4
5 Indeed, the practice of using visual images alongside the written word has always been a part
6 of the language teacher's armory of pedagogical tools. Visuals, narrowly deployed here as any
7 image in the form of pictures, photographs, paintings, posters, drawings, illustrations or
8 'anything that would help a learner see an immediate meaning (Canning-Wilson 2001: 3), have
9 been proven to enhance language learning as they enable the learner to make complex
10 connections between the words being learnt. Graphic images, for example, are said to 'bring
11 out more detailed, knowledgeable, responsive, awareness to the object, situation or text being
12 communicated' (Canning-Wilson 2001: 4). In tests for spoken language proficiency, visuals are
13 also used to elicit specific language structures and vocabulary in order to assess linguistic
14 competence.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 The relationship between language and visual imagery has always been a fundamental
30 part of learning how to communicate (Britsch, 2010). An entity like a 'tree', for instance, only
31 becomes a linguistic fact once the association between the word tree and the image of a tree has
32 been established (ibid). Although the inextricability between the verbal and the visual is evident
33 from the very early stages of language acquisition and socialization, the relationship between
34 the two tends to be hierarchical in that the non-linguistic is considered subservient to the
35 linguistic. In the language classroom, visual aids are commonly treated as something 'extra,
36 something imported as a frill, as a motivator instead of something central to and integral with
37 the learning process' (Corder 1963: 85). Çetin & Flamand (2013), for example, claim that
38 despite the potential of posters to facilitate incidental vocabulary learning they have been
39 viewed more as decorative items rather than as pedagogical tools. Jewitt (2008:15) posits that
40 the visual is often 'celebrated for its potential to interest and motivate learners' and yet 'the link
41 between visual forms of knowledge and learning is seldom made.'
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 In the last ten years, scholars have started to interrogate the extremely narrow view of
7
8 language as speech or text, thus taking for granted other resources that the learners use to convey
9
10 and receive information (Vigliocco, Perniss, & Vinson, 2014). Petrie (2005: 98) contends that
11
12 the role of the non-linguistic aspects of language learning has been neglected in spite of the fact
13
14 that there are more significant non-verbal barriers to culture and language learning than verbal.
15
16 Along the same lines, Jewitt (2008) points out that the unprecedented level with which
17
18 technology has changed the production and dissemination of images forces us to scrutinize how
19
20 words and images combine to inform educational practices. Simply put, in the face of a world
21
22 saturated with images, it is no longer sustainable to hold on to the notion of learning and literacy
23
24 as merely linguistic accomplishments. As Jewitt (2008: 6) states, ‘the time for that habitual
25
26 conjunction of “language and learning” is over’.
27
28
29

30
31 Using the Selfie Project as a springboard for theorising the verbal and the visual, this
32
33 exploratory paper looks at how the selfie, the most ubiquitous visual image of the 21st century
34
35 and the ‘first artwork of the network age’ (Rubinstein 2015:167), was incorporated into an
36
37 English fluency activity at a Thai university. As part of the project, EFL students were
38
39 instructed to take selfies over a period of two weeks. They were then asked to talk about their
40
41 selfies in class by way of a slide presentation. The activity proved to be successful in helping
42
43 the students focus on communicating the message rather than worrying about grammar. There
44
45 were other serendipitous benefits gained from the Selfie Project, which in the words of Perry
46
47 & Edwards (2010: 859), can be called a ‘happy accident’.
48
49
50

51 52 **The verbal and the visual**

53
54 Visual materials of various modalities stare us in the face everywhere. The so called
55
56 ‘visual turn’ in social science research is attributed to the speed, ease and accessibility of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 technologies used for recording and producing images (Emmel & Clark, 2011; Pauwels, 2015)
6
7 communication practices. The globalized and networked landscape has made it impossible to
8
9 ignore the ubiquitous presence of images in the virtual and in the real world (Jewitt, 2008).
10
11

12 Words, selfies, photographs, emojis and emoticons combine to ‘show’ instead of just
13
14 ‘tell’. It is therefore not surprising that Oxford Dictionaries have proclaimed a symbol of a
15
16 happy face with tears of joy as ‘word’ of the year for 2015. Although not a word, emojis
17
18 combine with texts to express complex ideas in an online environment (Cocoza, 2015). This
19
20 has important implications for language teachers in conceptualizing their notion of what
21
22 constitutes a word or the very definition of language itself. There are a growing number of
23
24 emoji dictionaries on the internet that show the symbol’s versatility as it can function as a noun,
25
26 a verb or an adjective depending on the context of use. It is not within the scope of this paper
27
28 to explore in-depth the use of visuals in digital communication and other modalities (but see
29
30 Petrie 2005; Jewitt 2008).
31
32
33
34
35

36 The interplay between the verbal and the visual is more evident in written, digital
37
38 communication where it is much easier to incorporate images with texts. Considering the ever-
39
40 growing popularity of the use of internet in language classrooms, the importance of the ‘non-
41
42 linguistic visibility’ (Petrie 2005:98) cannot be ignored. Petrie (2005) provides a review of
43
44 studies on the use of visibility in electronic environments and makes a call for further research
45
46 into its importance in literacy and language learning. Jewitt, Kress, Ogborn and Tsatsarelis
47
48 (Jewitt, Kress, Ogborn, & Tsatsarelis, 2001) interrogate the widely held notion that learning is
49
50 necessarily equated with linguistic accomplishment primarily. Using a science classroom in
51
52 their empirical research, they argue for a multi-modal approach in education that pays attention
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 to the full repertoire of meaning making systems that include not only the linguistic but also the
6
7 visual and the actional (i.e. facial expressions, gesture etc.).
8
9

10 Visual aids have always occupied a special place in the foreign language classroom.
11
12 They are valued for their utility in increasing student motivation and aiding retention and recall
13
14 (Arndt & Pesch, 1984, p. 28); teaching culture and vocabulary (Arndt & Pesch, 1984; Bush,
15
16 2007) as well intercultural literacy (Arizpe, Bagelman, Devlin, Farrell, & McAdam, 2014),
17
18 developing students' intercultural communicative competence (Kiss & Weninger, 2017) and
19
20 enhancing listening comprehension (Mueller, 1980). But despite the widespread use and
21
22 acceptance of visual aids , their impact is 'hypothetical' (Arndt & Pesch, 1984, p. 28). Little is
23
24 known about how students actually engage with and benefit from the use of visual aids.
25
26 Moreover, indeed there have not been many systematic attempts to explain why a specific form
27
28 of visual, and not another, produces a particular effect in language production. I contend that
29
30 one way to push the interpretative inquiry forward is to seek inspiration from other approaches
31
32 that specialise in the study of the visual. Thus, borrowing insights from visual methodologies,
33
34 I focus on the ubiquitous selfie to illuminate how the image is used by the language learners to
35
36 communicate.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 *The Selfie as social conversation*

44
45 The selfie, a photograph of oneself usually taken with a smartphone or webcam, has
46
47 revolutionized notions of social interaction (Saltz, 2014). This form of self-portrait 'which
48
49 communicates some kind of message about the self' (Iqani & Schroeder, 2015: 01) has become
50
51 a global phenomenon. It has been reported that more than 93 million selfies are taken by people
52
53 from around the world, and this figure represents only those taken with a camera phone (Senft
54
55 & Baym, 2015).
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The selfie has been labelled as the visual manifestation of ‘narcissism gone wild’(Giroux 2015: 163), ‘deep-seated loneliness’ (Murray, 2015: 499), and ‘exhibitionism’ (Wortham 2013: 04). On the other hand, there are visual studies and communication scholars who view the selfie as an outlet for self-definition (Murray, 2015: 02) and self-expression (Iqani & Schroeder, 2015); as well as a medium for identity formation (van Dijck, 2008), self-presentation, and sociality (Gye, 2007). It has been proposed that a selfie can be viewed as a ‘currency for social interaction’ (van Dijck, 2008: 62), and as a communication device (Katz & Crocker 2015; Saltz 2014). When shared online, the selfie is argued to take on language-like function and characteristics. According to Frosh, (2015: 1621), the selfie ‘extend[s] the photographic grammar of everyday communication’ serving as the visual equivalent of reflexive verbs in language use. Katz and Crocker (2015) report that in platforms like the Snapchat, ‘selfies and selfie-related practices allow for the flourishing of meaningful “language games” using images as both grammar and vocabulary’ (Katz & Crocker 2015, p. 1862). It is said that the rapid exchange of selfies mimics the exchange of words in conversation with its own ‘private grammar and vocabulary’ (Katz & Crocker 2015: 1870) and phatic quality in the same way spoken words function in the enactment of social relationships (Frosh 2015).

As a pedagogical tool, the selfie has been observed to promote creativity, critical self-reflexivity, social cohesion and community building (Johnson, Maiullo Trembley, & Werner 2014; Victoria, 2016). In a study exploring the use of selfies on Instagram, a photo sharing application, it was found that the selfie facilitated communication and socialization. It is suggested that the exchange of messages occur through an intricate interplay of the photo and the subsequent ‘like’ and ‘comment’ options (Al-Ali, 2014). An indication of the important role of this modern-day self-portrait is the newly created Selfies Research Network consisting of

1
2
3
4
5 over 1500 scholars and academics from around the world (“The Selfie Researchers Network,”
6 n.d.). Indeed, educators have started to acknowledge the selfie’s role in configuring present
7 day communication and socio-cultural practices.
8
9
10

11 12 13 **Methodology**

14
15 In this paper, the selfie is entextualized from its usual digital home and inserted into
16 the flesh-and-blood classroom environment. The selfie is conceptualised here as a
17 photographic image taken by the photographer of oneself regardless of whether it is privately
18 held or shared online on social networking sites (Albury, Leaver, Marwick, Rettberg, & Senf,
19 2014).
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 To scrutinize the relationship between the verbal and the visual, this study shall explore
28 the following questions:
29
30

- 31 1. How do the students use the selfie in a language fluency activity?
- 32 2. What are the potential pedagogical benefits of using the selfie as a student-generated visual
- 33 in the language classroom?
- 34 3. What conclusions can be made regarding the verbal-visual interplay in a language classroom?
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41

42 In order to answer the questions above, I first give a background of the Selfie Project
43 and then explain how I modified the photo elicitation method that is commonly associated with
44 visual research. Illustrative examples of the students’ use of the selfie are discussed to provide
45 evidence of how the learners employed it in ways I had not expected.
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 ***Research Context: The Selfie Project***

53
54 The Selfie Project was designed as a fluency activity to encourage learners to focus on
55 communicating the content of the message rather than worrying about grammar (Nation, 1989).
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Indeed, getting learners to speak using the target language can be very challenging, especially
7
8 if EFL is a compulsory subject (Brown & Muller, 2014; Talandis Jr & Stout, 2014). Given the
9
10 popularity and widespread usage of the selfie amongst young people and college students (Katz
11
12 & Crocker 2015), this digital self-portrait has been considered as a ‘way in’ (Albury, Leaver,
13
14 Marwick, Rettberg, & Senf, 2014) to encourage active participation.
15
16

17
18 The setting was a university in Thailand. The participants were 28 English language
19
20 learners; 5 males and 23 females, between 19 and 22 years old, all second year students with
21
22 intermediate to upper-intermediate level of English proficiency. To prepare for their selfie
23
24 presentations, the students were given two weeks planning time, which happened during the
25
26 holiday vacation, to take selfies and reflect on what they wanted to present in class. They
27
28 were encouraged to take risk in using new words or phrases. I gave them a set of reflective
29
30 questions designed to generate different verb tenses, ‘feeling’ words and descriptive
31
32 expressions:
33
34

- 35
36 ■ When and where was the selfie taken? Why did you choose this particular selfie to talk about
37
38 in class?
- 39
40 ■ What were you doing before and after the picture was taken?
- 41
42 ■ Were you alone or with other people?
- 43
44 ■ How would you describe yourself – your appearance, feelings, state of mind when the selfie
45
46 was taken?
- 47
48 ■ In what ways does the image represent who you ‘really’ are?
49
50
51

52
53 Before the presentations, the students were given the opportunity to practise their talk
54
55 in small groups so they could work on their pronunciation and fluency. This small group pre-
56
57 presentation practice was also intended to help them feel more confident and less inhibited
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 before going in front of the whole class (Ur, 2002). Mindful that some of the more shy students
6 might find the activity threatening, the learners were assured that they did not have to disclose
7
8 any personal information that they felt uncomfortable with.
9
10

11
12 At the end of each presentation, the listeners were given the opportunity to ask questions
13
14 about the content of the talk or any lexical and grammatical aspects they wished to clarify.
15
16

17 18 ***Research Method*** 19

20
21 I drew inspiration from the visual research methods to start a reflective dialogue between
22
23 the verbal and the visual. Visual research, as used in qualitative studies, refers to the use of
24
25 images in the research process alongside interviews and other ethnographic based methods
26
27 (Rose 2012: 298). In this study, a modified version of the photo-elicitation interview method
28
29 was deployed involving the use of the student-generated visual. Instead of the common practice
30
31 of interviewing the students individually, they were asked to give a presentation before the
32
33 whole class. The audience performed the role of interviewers. In effect, instead of the typical
34
35 one interviewee to one interviewer format, there was a one interviewee to multiple interviewers
36
37 format. Since it was a fluency activity, it was deemed important to give as many students as
38
39 possible the opportunity to speak. I acted as facilitator and participant observer.
40
41
42
43

44
45 The data set comprises of extensive observation notes taken during the presentations,
46
47 the selfie photos, and my research journal. I re-read my observation notes immediately after the
48
49 classes and made entries on my electronic journal to record my impressions and interpretations.
50
51 To supplement my analysis, I made use of the class's online social networking group to clarify
52
53 aspects of the presentation that were unclear.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

It is acknowledged that observation notes are necessarily selective and entries in my research journal relied heavily on what could be recalled from the event (Hammersley & Atkinson, 2007). I considered audio or video recording the selfie presentations so I could give feedback that is more accurate but I abandoned the idea, given that accuracy was not the focus. Furthermore, audio or video recording might make the students uncomfortable. I made a list, however, of problematic aspects of each presentation and integrated these into subsequent lessons.

The Selfie project was not initially intended as a formal research undertaking. However, taken aback by the students' enthusiasm and motivated by an innate 'researcher mentality', I decided to evolve the project into a formal enquiry. Application for ethics approval was made after the activity. The students' were asked for their consent if their selfies, and my observation notes and research journal could be used as part of the database. They were assured that only pseudonyms would be used and their photos would not appear in any publication. This might be considered unconventional in terms of research practice but it can be argued, consonant with Hammersley and Atkinson (2007), that surprising findings and fortuitous circumstances are valid reasons for an academic inquiry. Most importantly, the selfie presentations can be considered as naturalistic data—the students gave the talk as an everyday, taken for granted part of the EFL class, not as elicited data for the purposes of research.

Data Analysis and Discussion

According to Van House and Van House et. al (Van House, 2011; Van House, Davis, Ames, Finn, & Viswanathan, 2005) personal photography can be categorized according to four overlapping functions—the preservation of memory (as reminder of personal or collective experiences), the creation and maintenance of relationship (sending photos on-line to connect

1
2
3
4
5 with people), self-presentation (influencing other people's opinion of oneself) and self-
6 expression (talking about personal view of the world). During the coding process, the overlaps
7
8 in the selfie functions became evident. However, the intention here is not to create a rigid
9
10 taxonomy but to use the categories as a lens and a heuristic device to explore the students' use
11
12 of the visual.
13
14
15

16
17 Coding of the selfies was done through NVivo, a software for analysing qualitative and
18
19 mixed methods data. I uploaded all the selfies onto NVivo and annotated them based on my
20
21 observation notes and research log. Data analysis suggests that majority of the students
22
23 deployed the selfie for self-presentation (13 students) and self-expression (9 students). My
24
25 interpretation is that the preservation of memory function (3 students) is used more often for
26
27 other types of personal photography, not the selfie. The relationship creation and maintenance
28
29 function (3 students) is likely more evident when the selfie is shared online via Facebook,
30
31 Instagram or Snapchats. As one of the students said, 'I want my parents, who live in another
32
33 province to know that I'm fine. When I send them smiling pictures of me, they know I am
34
35 okay.'
36
37
38
39

40 Below are just a few illustrative examples of selfie stories shared by the students:

41 42 43 **Self-Presentation**

44
45 The two students in the examples below talked about their parents who were not shown in the
46
47 selfie. I classified these under the self-presentation category because the 'self' is presented as
48
49 a loving son and daughter paying tribute to a parent.
50
51

52 53 Khim with her mother (not shown in the selfie)

54
55 The selfie shows a somewhat blurred photo of Khim at a seaside restaurant. In her presentation,
56
57 she talked about her mother who was sitting beside her when the photo was taken. She made
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 mention of her sad eyes in the picture, swollen from crying. She and her mother had just come
6
7 from the hospital where her mother was undergoing treatment for a serious illness. She used
8
9 the words ‘devastated’ and ‘very, very, very horrible’ to describe her feelings.
10
11

12 Gan and his dad (not shown in the selfie)

13
14 The visual is of Gan’s face, visibly smiling but with his eyes closed. He talked about his Dad
15
16 who had died the year before. Gan narrated that whenever he closed his eyes at bedtime, he
17
18 would immediately feel the calming presence of his father. He said he had always ‘looked up
19
20 to’ his father and whenever he thought about him, he felt ‘warm inside’.
21
22

23 **Self-Expression**

24
25 The two black-and-white photos, which are un-smiling close up shots of the two students,
26
27 embody their feelings about their particular topics.
28
29

30 Nan, in defiance of the ‘like’ culture

31
32 Nan’s selfie shows an extreme close-up, unflattering shot of her face (magnified pores and
33
34 pimples). She emphasised that the ‘ugly’ photo is her way of rebelling against the ‘like’ culture
35
36 of Facebook that promotes an idealistic and unrealistic image of young women. ‘Sick and tired’
37
38 and ‘fed up’ were the terms she used to describe her feelings.
39
40
41
42

43 Bee, eating his homework

44
45 Also in black and white, this selfie portrays a serious-looking Bee, unlike his usual smiley self.
46
47 It shows him with a piece of line paper clenched between his teeth, hanging out of his mouth.
48
49 He said he hated writing in English and thought his essays were ‘very terrible’.
50
51

52 **Preservation of Memory**

Ploy and the Fireworks

The selfie's focus are the fireworks in the background. Ploy's face is only partially shown in one corner of the visual. She described the 'westernized' New Year celebration in her hometown, a popular tourist destination. I noted she used the words 'countdown' and 'New Year's resolution' which were discussed in class just before the holiday break.

Relationship Maintenance

Fai and her Friends

This groupie shows Fai and three of her friends wearing their school uniform. They were sitting in the back of a car, smiling, looking at the camera, and making the 'V' sign. The friends shown with her were present in the audience as they were also in the same class. For this reason, I categorised this visual under relationship maintenance.

The selfie presentations provided a glimpse into the students' multi-dimensional identities. Contrary to the selfie's reductive portrayal (Frosh, 2015; Murray, 2015) as a reflection of vanity and narcissism, the students imbued their self-portraits with multi-layered meanings.

As to the linguistic merits of the Selfie Project, the results are mixed. As stated earlier, the motivation for the activity was to provide students with a speaking exercise that would generate use of different verb tenses and descriptive adjectives. I encouraged the students to take risks in using new vocabulary that are of relevance to them. However, I noted that they mostly relied on words they already knew. There were a few attempts, as shown in the examples, where students used 'devastated', 'fed up' and 'sick and tired.' In terms of grammatical aspects, the simple past tense was used when the past perfect or past progressive would have been more correct. Forming the simple past of irregular verbs also proved to be a

1
2
3
4
5 challenge for a few students. There were also some incorrect usage of ungradable adjectives
6
7 (e.g. ‘very, very, very horrible’ and ‘very terrible’. Nevertheless, despite brief moments of
8
9 hesitation, all students gave their talks relatively unhampered by lexical gaps or grammatical
10
11 concerns. Even a female student who very seldom participated in class discussions, opened up
12
13 about her dog (shown in the selfie with her) that had just died. After her very emotional and
14
15 tearful presentation, a number of students hugged her and offered words of comfort in English
16
17 from a plain ‘so sorry’ to ‘condolence.’ This instance highlights the spontaneous use of
18
19 authentic language to show empathy enabling the students to deploy a wider repertoire of
20
21 communicative resources.
22
23
24

25
26 After all the presentations had taken place, the students engaged in a discussion about
27
28 the influence of social media in their lives, the connection between self-worth and the number
29
30 of ‘likes’ one gets on Facebook, and so on. They used the target language to talk about issues
31
32 of concern and relevance to them.
33
34
35

36 Another ‘happy side effect’ (Gauntlett & Holzwarth 2006: 82) of the Selfie Project was
37
38 the establishment of a palpable positive atmosphere in the classroom evidenced by laughter and
39
40 multiple speaking overlaps. The students appeared engaged and interested in each other’s
41
42 narrative. As Gye (2007) argues, the sharing of stories accompanied by photographs reinforces
43
44 social relationships. Imagine a group of people sitting in the living room showing each other
45
46 pictures from their photo album—this level of informality and intimacy, to a greater or lesser
47
48 extent, is created in an otherwise formal classroom setting. Oral communication in a foreign
49
50 language can indeed produce anxiety and insecurity in language learners (Rubio, 2007) in
51
52 addition to threats to self-concept and negative feelings (Wilson, 2013).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Wilson (2013: 301) puts it eloquently when she said that ‘Narratives of self-discovery, personal liberation and increased confidence run counter to most commonly held views about the feelings produced by learning and using a foreign language’. She adds that studies of negative feelings and anxiety in using the foreign language tend to predominate with little mention of positive aspects. There is strong argument to be put forward for classroom activities that encourage the learners to use the target language as tools for self-presentation and self-expression.

The Visual and ‘Verbal editing’

A photograph has an ‘uncompromising fixity’ (Croghan, Griffin, Hunter, & Phoenix, 2008: 351) both temporally and spatially. This fixity, along with a one-dimensional representation of the subject, summons a process called ‘verbal editing’ or a ‘marked degree of explanatory or repair work’ (ibid). Showing Gan’s selfie with his closed eyes is just that—a face with closed eyes. However, Gan ‘verbally edited’ the selfie to present an identity of a grieving son missing his deceased father whom he admired and loved. Nan’s unflattering selfie needed verbal accounting to fully express her distaste for the social media’s negative effect on self-worth. Khim offered a verbal explanation why her eyes were swollen in the photo in the same way that Bee had to clarify why he had a piece of paper sticking out of his mouth. Resonating with Croghan et. al’s (2008) findings in their use of photo-elicitation interviews, the process of verbally editing the selfies made it possible for students to expand and regulate the stories they wish to present about themselves. The visual and the verbal combine to more powerfully breathe life into the narrative.

The Self(ie) in the Visual-Verbal Interplay

In SLA research, aspects of the learners' identity that have typically been invoked position them as 'interlanguage/foreign/fossilized/second language speakers,' 'immigrants,' 'non-native speakers' (Duff, 2014, :410), as well as 'beginner,' 'intermediate' or 'advanced.' The tendency has been to view the individuals as a 'model or personification of the learning process' instead of 'real-life, flesh-and-blood individuals with their own subjective and social worlds' (Riley 2006: 206). Indeed, the actual 'self' has seldom been the focal point in the classroom. The focus tends to be on the first person 'I' as the subject or the 'doer' of the action in an active voice sentence construction. That the plural of the grammatical first person 'I' is 'we', and 'me' and 'us' are the object forms of 'I' are taught as fundamental rules in learning the English language. The first person 'I' is learnt as the 'generator' of the target grammar, pronunciation or vocabulary, but seldom the centre of the inquiry (Riley, 2006). Put another way, the learners' role is often reduced to that of an 'animator' or physical source of an utterance; with less attention paid to their role as 'author' (the person who composes the words uttered by the animator and 'principal' (the person whose viewpoints are conveyed in the message (Goffman, 1981). In presenting their selfies, the students enact the multidimensional role of animator, author and principal. The visual serves as an aid to the lingual and as a catalyst for looking inwards and asking 'who am I' and 'how do I want others to see me?' The reflection process goes beyond the traditional use of visuals in the classroom and can be linked to issues such as identity formation (Gye, 2007; van Dijck, 2008) and impression management.

That the Selfie Project proved to be an effective fluency activity can be partially due to the inversion of the traditional knowledge structure in the classroom. The teacher was no longer the information expert. The students were in full control of the contents of their selfie narrative.

1
2
3
4
5 This might have resulted in making the power relations more symmetrical, thus reducing their
6 anxiety. I make this claim cautiously because spoken language fluency in a second or foreign
7 language is difficult to measure (Brown & Muller, 2014).
8
9
10

11
12 How can we use insights from visual research scholars to account for the success of the
13 Selfie Project? First, as argued by Harper (2002: 13), images can be more effective than words
14 in evoking ‘deeper elements of human consciousness’ and facilitating participants’ recall of
15 information and related experiences. The selfie as a photographic object enabled the
16 participants to draw from the emotional and physically embodied experience of the *when*, the
17 *where*, the *why* and *with whom* the particular photograph was taken. A photograph has the
18 power to enable the narrator to ‘talk about different things, in different ways’ (Rose 2012, p.
19 305), thus yielding ‘different and richer information than other techniques’ (Van Auken et al.
20 2010: 373). In Khim’s example, she did not talk about the ‘self’ but instead about the ‘other’
21 who was present but not visible in the selfie. She ‘relived’, through her presentation, a
22 physically embodied experience of sadness because of her mother’s illness.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 From a visual research paradigm, using a photo to generate ideas is believed to ‘elicit
39 more concrete information’, ‘act as trigger to memory’ and ‘evoke a more emotional many-
40 layered response’ (Croghan, Griffin, Hunter, & Phoenix 2008: 346). It is argued that
41 photographs encourage individuals to construct a new view of themselves and their social
42 world. As Carpenter (1995: 488) states, a photographic portrait offers opportunities for self-
43 recognition, self/study. It provides the extra sensation of objectivizing the self. It makes the self
44 more real, more dramatic.’ Furthermore, in terms of encouraging authentic talk, images have
45 the power to ‘disarm[s] or bypass[es] the purely intellectual, leading to a more authentic and
46 complete glimpse of what a particular experience is like or of what people think and feel’
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 (Weber 2008: 6). Visual research scholars who support the use of participant-produced images
6
7 claim that the time gap between taking the pictures and the photo-elicitation interview gives
8
9 participants the opportunity to reflect about the image, which leads to thicker data. In the case
10
11 of the Selfie Project, the students had two weeks to take selfies and then decide on the one they
12
13 wanted to talk about in class. The two weeks between taking the photos and then deciding which
14
15 one to use for the presentation, will have given the learners enough time reflect on the topic and
16
17 plan their talk. The importance of planning time is of course well documented in second
18
19 language acquisition research particularly in task-based approaches (Ellis, 2003; Kuiken &
20
21 Vedder, 2012)
22
23
24
25

26
27 When using images for photo-elicitation interviews, Liebenberg (2009: 442) states that
28
29 the visuals function as ‘reminder notes’ in much the same way that cue cards or note cards are
30
31 deployed when giving a speech. Van Auken, Frisvoll and Stewart (2010) report that when
32
33 compared to non-photo based interviews, photo-elicited accounts were longer, more focused,
34
35 and produced thicker data. Indeed, it has been argued that ‘even the most banal photographs-a
36
37 can of cider!-can prompt participant to give eloquent and insightful accounts of their lives’
38
39 (Rose, 2012: 312)
40
41
42

43
44 Whether or not the effects produced relate specifically to the selfie format as opposed
45
46 to others deserves further investigation. It is argued however that the selfie, deemed to be a
47
48 ‘conductor of embodied social energy’ (Frosh 2015: 1623), is unlike any other visual images.
49
50 It works like a ‘mirror with a memory’ (Collier & Collier, 1986: 106), an ‘additional
51
52 presence’ whereby the selfie-takers have the verbal opportunity to correct or create an
53
54 impression that others have about them (Croghan et al., 2008, p. 355). Using photographs that
55
56 they themselves conceptualized, shot, photo shopped, edited using photo editing applications,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 and retouched provide the students with an armory of talking points to enable them to
6
7
8 ‘unleash a [verbal] flood of detail’ (Banks & Zeitlyn, 2015: 86).
9

10 11 **Conclusions**

12
13 In this study, I extracted the selfie from its virtual environment and mined it for its
14
15 utility in a Thai foreign language classroom. In this section, I attempt to answer the three
16
17 research questions posed in the Methodology.
18

19
20 First, how do the students use the selfie in a language fluency activity? Contrary to the
21
22 pathologized notions of the selfie as a symbol of narcissism and exhibitionism, the students
23
24 used the selfie in multiple, complex ways but mainly as a resource for self-presentation and
25
26 self-expression. The process of verbally editing an image of a face or a group of faces
27
28 facilitated language production. The decontextualised selfie which provides very limited or no
29
30 information about the background seemed to have motivated the students to add more
31
32 information, but not in the sense of merely describing the image. As the illustrative examples
33
34 show, they did not only talk about the *when*, *where*, *why* and *with whom* but also the visually
35
36 absent but very much present loved one. I would argue that the visually limited selfie
37
38 prompted the selfie-takers to linguistically provide the missing context. What also contributed
39
40 to language production was the two weeks gap between taking the selfies before the
41
42 presentation in class. The reflective process during the production of the visual image helped
43
44 the participants to better articulate their experiences (Liebenberg, 2009). In other words, they
45
46 used the selfie as the kind of ‘open sesame’ to a whole world of embodied experiences and
47
48 memory.
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 Second, what are the potential pedagogical benefits of using the selfie as a student-
56
57 generated visual in the language classroom? The selfie provided the students with a vehicle to
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

unleash a verbal flood of detail (Banks & Zeitlyn, 2015) in ways that are already explained above. Discovering or accessing new aspects of their identity can be very motivating for some (Wilson, 2013). Through the process of verbally editing the visual, they had to look inward thus engaging in self-reflexivity. The self-disclosure that accompanied the selfie presentations helped the students to get to know each other, which has direct relevance in enhancing social relations in the classroom. Before the Selfie Project, I must admit that I knew little about the students and their world outside of the university campus. It was quite a revelation, for example, to see Bee's selfie showing him eating his English composition homework. It can be argued, following Johnson, Maiullo, Trembley, and Werner (2014), that it is part of the educators' role 'to help students turn the focus in on themselves in order to be critical of their own ideologies, perspectives, and habits' (p. 120). They further note that 'If students are already turning their cell phones inward, using photos and videos to create portraits, we have an opportunity to harness that predisposition' (ibid).

Another pedagogical benefit of this student-generated selfie is that it can empower the students to be able to talk about visuals that they themselves produced (Gauntlett & Holzwarth, 2006). In other words, they create their own entry points for expressing and making meaning (Stein, 2000). The students' expert knowledge of the self-as-theme inverted the traditional classroom asymmetry, which seemed to have boosted their confidence during the presentation. From the teacher's perspective, they can save time in searching for suitable visual aids if the students can readily provide them.

Third, what conclusions can be made regarding the verbal-visual interplay in a language classroom? There is much research to be done in order to systematically theorise the interplay between the verbal and the visual. What I have presented is intended to start the ball

1
2
3
4
5 rolling, prompted by an opportunistic enquiry. As stated in the Methodology section, I had not
6 intended for the Selfie project to be a formal research but inspired by the selfie presentations,
7
8 I decided to evolve it into a research undertaking. As part of my approach as reflective
9
10 practitioner I looked beyond second language research and continued my sense making
11
12 journey to the visual approaches. The modified photo elicitation interview led me to the
13
14 notion of verbal editing, a process through which language is used to contextualise the visual,
15
16 thus serving as a catalyst for self-presentation and self-expression. It has been proposed that
17
18 the 'image and word attend to discrete aspects of meaning' (Jewitt, 2008, p. 31). This
19
20 synergistic potential of the spoken word plus image requires much more systematic
21
22 investigation than I have done here. The use of video or audio recording would yield more
23
24 detailed language data. This could be supplemented, if feasible, by the diary method and in-
25
26 depth interviews with students.
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 The insights from the Selfie Project are drawn from a very specific context and
34
35 therefore must be taken as suggestive rather than conclusive. It is hoped that this exploratory
36
37 study has opened up a space to question our own beliefs about the visual-lingual connection.
38
39 As language teachers and researchers, the time has come to accept the 'limits of language as a
40
41 channel for expressing the arc of human experience' (Stein, 2000, p. 334). Further
42
43 investigations are needed in order to create a pedagogic space that can enable learners to
44
45 effectively use the picture to stimulate ten thousand words 😊.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Al-Ali, S. (2014, November 30). Embracing the Selfie Craze: Exploring the Possible Use of Instagram as a Language mLearning Tool. *Issues and Trends in Educational Technology*. Retrieved from <https://journals.uair.arizona.edu/index.php/itet/article/view/18274/18091>
- Albury, K., Leaver, T., Marwick, A., Rettberg, J., & Senf, T. (2014). The Selfie Course : More than a MOOC. In *Massive Open Online Courses and Higher Education: Where to next* (pp. 1–20).
- Arizpe, E., Bagelman, C., Devlin, A. M., Farrell, M., & McAdam, J. E. (2014). Visualizing intercultural literacy: engaging critically with diversity and migration in the classroom through an image-based approach. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 14(3), 304–321. <http://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2014.903056>
- Arndt, H., & Pesch, H. W. H. A. and H. W. (1984). Nonverbal Communication and Visual Teaching Aids: A Perceptual Approach. *The Modern Language Journal*, 68(1), 28–36. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/327692.pdf?&acceptTC=true&jpdConfirm=true>
- Banks, M., & Zeitlyn, D. (2015). *Visual methods in social research*. Los Angeles, London, New Delhi, Singapore: Sage Publications.
- Britsch, S. (2010). Photo-Booklets for English Language Learning: Incorporating Visual Communication into Early Childhood Teacher Preparation. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 38(3), 171–177. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-010-0412-2>
- Brown, P. S., & Muller, T. (2014). Introduction. In T. Muller, J. Adamson, S. P. Brown, & S. Herder (Eds.), *Exploring EFL Fluency in Asia* (p. 354). New York: Palgrave Macmillan. <http://doi.org/10.1057/9781137449405>
- Bush, M. D. (2007). Facilitating the integration of culture and vocabulary learning: The categorization and use of pictures in the classroom. *Foreign Language Annals*, 40(4),

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

727–745. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.2007.tb02890.x>

Canning-Wilson, C. (2001). Article 48_ Visuals & Language Learning...pdf. Retrieved March 10, 2016, from <http://www.eltnewsletter.com/back/Feb2001/art482001.htm>

Carpenter, E. (1995). The tribal terror of self awareness. In P. Hockings (Ed.), *Principles of Visual Ethnography* (2nd ed., pp. 481–491). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Çetin, Y., & Flamand, L. (2013). Posters, self-directed learning, and 12 vocabulary acquisition. *ELT Journal*, 67(1), 52–61. <http://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccs053>

Cocozza, P. (2015, November 17). Crying with laughter_ how we learned ho...pdf. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <http://www.theguardian.com/technology/2015/nov/17/crying-with-laughter-how-we-learned-how-to-speak-emoji>

Collier, J. J., & Collier, M. (1986). *Visual anthropology: Photography as a research method*. University of New Mexico Press.

Croghan, R., Griffin, C., Hunter, J., & Phoenix, A. (2008). Young People's Constructions of Self: Notes on the Use and Analysis of the Photo-Elicitation Methods. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 11(4), 345–356. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13645570701605707>

Duff, P. (2014). Identity, agency and second language acquisition. In S. Gass & A. McKay (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (1st ed., pp. 410–426). London and New York.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Emmel, N., & Clark, A. (2011). Learning to Use Visual Methodologies in Our Research: A Dialogue Between Two Researchers. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 12(1).

Frosh, P. (2015). The Gestural Image: The Selfie, Photography Theory, and Kinesthetic

- 1
2
3
4
5 Sociability. *International Journal of Communication*, 9, 1607–1628.
6
7
8 Gauntlett, D., & Holzwarth, P. (2006). Creative and visual methods for exploring identities.
9
10 *Visual Studies*, 21(1), 82–91. <http://doi.org/10.1080/14725860600613261>
11
12
13 Giroux, H. A. (2015). Selfie Culture in the Age of Corporate and State Surveillance. *Third*
14
15 *Text*, 29(3), 155–164. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09528822.2015.1082339>
16
17 Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of talk*. Oxford: Blackwell.
18
19
20 Gye, L. (2007). Picture This: the Impact of Mobile Camera Phones on Personal Photographic
21
22 Practices. *Continuum*, 21(2), 279–288. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10304310701269107>
23
24
25 Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (2007). *Ethnography: Principles in Practice* (3rd ed.). New
26
27 York: Routledge.
28
29 Iqani, M., & Schroeder, J. E. (2015). #selfie: Digital self-portraits as commodity form and
30
31 consumption practice. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 3866(January).
32
33 <http://doi.org/10.1080/10253866.2015.1116784>
34
35 Jewitt, C. (2008). *The visual in learning and creativity: a review of the literature*. *Creative*
36
37 *Partnerships Series*. London. Retrieved from [http://www.mirandanet.ac.uk/vl_blog/wp-](http://www.mirandanet.ac.uk/vl_blog/wp-content/uploads/2009/02/the-visual-in-learning-and-creativity-168.pdf)
38
39 [content/uploads/2009/02/the-visual-in-learning-and-creativity-168.pdf](http://www.mirandanet.ac.uk/vl_blog/wp-content/uploads/2009/02/the-visual-in-learning-and-creativity-168.pdf)
40
41 Jewitt, C., Kress, G., Ogborn, J., & Tsatsarelis, C. (2001). Exploring learning through visual,
42
43 actional and linguistic communication: the multimodal environment of a science
44
45 classroom. *Educational Review*, 53(1), 5–18.
46
47 <http://doi.org/10.1080/00131910120033600>
48
49 Johnson, M, Maiullo S, Trembley, E, Werner C, W. D. (2014). The Selfie as a Pedagogical
50
51 Tool in a College Classroom. *College Teaching*, 7555(January 2015), 119–120.
52
53 <http://doi.org/10.1080/87567555.2014.933168>
54
55 Katz, J. E., & Crocker, E. T. (2015). Selfies and Photo Messaging as Visual Conversation :
56
57 Reports from the United States , United Kingdom and China. *International Journal of*
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Communication, 9, 1861–1872.

Kiss, T., & Weninger, C. (2017). Cultural learning in the EFL classroom: the role of visuals. *ELT Journal*, 71(2), 186–196. <http://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccw072>

Kuiken, F., & Vedder, I. (2012). Speaking and writing tasks and their effects on second language performance. In S. Gass & A. Mackey (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 364–378). London and New York: Routledge.

Liebenberg, L. (2009). The visual image as discussion point: increasing validity in boundary crossing research. *Qualitative Research*, 9(4), 441–467. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1468794109337877>

Millard, E., & Marsh, J. (2001). Words with Pictures: The Role of Visual Literacy in Writing and its Implication for Schooling. *Literacy (Formerly Reading)*, 35(2), 54–61. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9345.00162>

Mueller, G. A. (1980). Visual context clues and listening comprehension: An experiment. *Modern Language Journal*, 64(3), 335–340.

Murray, D. C. (2015). Notes to self: the visual culture of selfies in the age of social media. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 3866(July), 1–27. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10253866.2015.1052967>

Nation, P. (1989). Improving speaking fluency. *System*, 17(3), 377–384.

Pauwels, L. (2015). *The SAGE Handbook Visual Research Methods 1 An Integrated Conceptual Framework for Visual Social Research*.

Perry, B., & Edwards, M. (2010). Encyclopedia of Case Study Research:... In A. J. Mills, G. Durepos, & E. Wiebe (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Case Study Research* (pp. 1–1109). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications. <http://doi.org/10.4135/9781412957397>

Petrie, G. M. (2005). Visuality and CALL Research. In J. Egbert & G. Petrie (Eds.), *CALL research perspectives* (pp. 97–107). Mahwah, New Jersey and London: Lawrence

1
2
3
4
5 Erlbaum Associates.
6
7

8 Riley, P. (2006). Self-expression and the negotiation of identity in a foreign language.
9

10 *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 16(3), 295–318.

11 <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1473-4192.2006.00120.x>
12
13

14 Rose, G. (2012). *Visual Methodologies: An Introduction Researching Visual Materials* (3rd
15 ed.). London: Sage Publications.
16
17

18 Rubinstein, D. (2015). Gift of the Selfie. In Z. Shahin & S. Michalsaja (Eds.), *Ego Update*
19 (pp. 164–176). Düsseldorf: NRW Forum. Retrieved from

20 (pp. 164–176). Düsseldorf: NRW Forum. Retrieved from
21 https://www.academia.edu/15718099/Gift_of_the_Selfie
22
23
24

25 Rubio, F. (2007). *Self-esteem and foreign language learning*. (F. Rubio, Ed.) (Vol. 93).

26 Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540->
27 4781.2009.00870_8.x
28
29
30

31 Saltz, J. (2014). Art at Arm's Length: A History of the Selfie. *New York Magazine*, 71–75.

32 Retrieved from <http://www.vulture.com/2014/01/history-of-the-selfie.html>
33
34
35

36 Senft, T. M., & Baym, N. K. (2015). What Does the Selfie Say ? Investigating a Global
37 Phenomenon Introduction. *International Journal of Communication*, 9, 1588–1606.

38 Retrieved from ijoc.org
39
40
41

42 Stein, P. (2000). Rethinking Resources in the ESL Classroom: Rethinking Resources:

43 Multimodal Pedagogies in the ESL Classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 34(2), 333–336.

44 <http://doi.org/10.2307/3587958>
45
46
47

48 Talandis Jr, G., & Stout, M. (2014). Getting EFL students to speak : an action research

49 approach, 69(Harumi 2011), 1–15. <http://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccu037>
50
51

52 The Selfie Researchers Network. (n.d.). Retrieved April 10, 2016, from

53 <http://www.selfieresearchers.com/>
54
55
56

57 Ur, P. (2002). *Discussions that work: task-centred fluency*. Cambridge: Cambridge University
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 Press.
6
7

- 8 Van Auken, P. M., Frisvoll, S. J., & Stewart, S. I. (2010). Visualising community: using
9 participant-driven photo-elicitation for research and application. *Local Environment*,
10 15(4), 373–388. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13549831003677670>
11
12
13
14 van Dijck, J. (2008). Digital photography: communication, identity, memory. *Visual*
15 *Communication*, 7(1), 57–76. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1470357207084865>
16
17
18
19 Van House, N. a. (2011). Personal photography, digital technologies and the uses of the
20 visual. *Visual Studies*, 26(2), 125–134. <http://doi.org/10.1080/1472586X.2011.571888>
21
22
23
24 Van House, N., Davis, M., Ames, M., Finn, M., & Viswanathan, V. (2005). The uses of
25 personal networked digital imaging. *CHI '05 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in*
26 *Computing Systems - CHI '05*, (Chi 2005), 1853–1856.
27
28 <http://doi.org/10.1145/1056808.1057039>
29
30
31 Vigliocco, G., Perniss, P., & Vinson, D. (2014). Language as a multimodal phenomenon :
32 implications for language learning , processing and evolution Language as a multimodal
33 phenomenon : implications for language learning , processing and evolution.
34 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*, 369: 20130.
35
36 <http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0292>
37
38
39
40
41 Weber, S. (2008). Weber Visual Images in Research. In J. G. Knowles & A. L. Cole (Eds.),
42 *Handbook of the Arts in Qualitative Research: Perspectives, Methodologies, Examples,*
43 *and Issues* (pp. 41–53). Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
44
45
46
47 Wilson, R. (2013). Another language is another soul. *Language and Intercultural*
48 *Communication*, 13(3), 298–309. <http://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2013.804534>
49
50
51
52 Wortham, J. (2013). My Selfie, Myself - The New York Times.pdf. *The New York Times*, 1–
53 5. Retrieved from [http://www.nytimes.com/2013/10/20/sunday-review/my-selfie-](http://www.nytimes.com/2013/10/20/sunday-review/my-selfie-myself.html?pagewanted=all&_r=1)
54 [myself.html?pagewanted=all&_r=1](http://www.nytimes.com/2013/10/20/sunday-review/my-selfie-myself.html?pagewanted=all&_r=1)
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only